

Can The Second Amendment and Islam co-exist?

**Please add your comments, keeping them very thoughtful and anonymous.
Thank you for participating.**

	Response Count
	230
<i>answered question</i>	230
<i>skipped question</i>	183

Response Text		
1	<p>We are a nation founded on the ideals of natural rights and self-government. Whether these are Judeo-Christian values is another question and is, as it applies to political philosophy, irrelevant. Collectivism in all its forms diminishes the importance of the individual in favor of the omnipotent group. It is collectivism that results in war and tyranny. The violence that these comments would lead to would not most likely come from "Islamofacists," but would be a pogrom against Muslims much like what happened to the Jews under Hitler. Islam is a religion founded by a businessman. I just listened to a Muslim on Lew Rockwell's podcast speak of how there is a movement within Islam to get back to its roots. Not violence, but free markets. Terrorists are but one part of Islam. To punish all Muslims because of the actions of a few is tyrannical. But if we are going to start, why not start by forcing all Muslims to wear yellow stars, then we can ship them off to interment centers, then we can...oh, yeah, that's already been done in other places against other peoples.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:39 PM
2	<p>The Constitution says: Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof. It says "religion" and does not list them. Use of firearms against one religion in favor of another would be technically unconstitutional. However, if our freedom were threatened by this religion the second amendment would apply.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:39 PM
3	<p>Screw Islam and all who follow it!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:40 PM
4	<p>As much as I am against violence I think the time is fast approaching that we will have to take our government back and do it with force. It has already passed the point of being tyrannical.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:45 PM
5	<p>I am not a Jew but I support your activities both by my membership and my thoughts. I would hope that my fellow Americans would have enough insight and foresight to actively, and physically if necessary, oppose any attempt to impose any kind of thought process upon us. I would use the second amendment for the stated purpose IF the other religion operated with the goal of becoming the ONLY religion. Medical science has allowed the development of a large number of vigorous and active people in my age group (I am 77). It is my hope that this group will realize that they have had a good long life and come strongly to the preservation of our society even at the risk of their own lives.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:46 PM

Response Text		
6	<p>F., 09/03/10 common era</p> <p>If Moslems follow the 7 Noahide Laws for Gentiles, there will be no problem. However, I read that 80% of U.S. mosques are funded by Saudi Arabia. If that is true, then the U.S. is in for some very bad times, especially if the economy continues to tank.</p> <p>I read that San Francisco politicians want to create a computerized handgun registry. That's straight out of Orwell's 1984.</p> <p>Good Shabbas</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:47 PM
7	The goal of Islam is to convert the whole world to their religion. They will lie about that purpose if it will get the job done. I refuse to be a Muslim, what about you?	Sep 3, 2010 7:49 PM
8	<p>Question 1; I agree, because the statement is based upon the faulty premise that muslims are a large gang of mad dog killers; I've known many muslims over the years, and all have adhered to Mohammed's admonition that christians, jews and muslims are all people of the book, look to an almost identical list of prophets and holy men, and are to respect one another based upon the commonalities of the respective faiths, and not to war over the differences. The comments as put forth are, clearly, inflammatory and intended for the sole and specific purpose of provoking a religious civil war.</p> <p>Question 2: You cannot aim the Second Amendment at a physical enemy, pull the trigger, and kill him or her stone dead; you also cannot attach a bayonet to the Second Amendment and gut a physical enemy like a fish. I would not use the Second Amendment in preference to a lethal weapon. I also would not presume to assume that I've taken on God's insights into who is the enemy, and who is not, based upon some religious standard; there are far more professing christians in this country who practice treason daily than there are members of Killer Islam who have, at least, the honesty to declare themselves and are willing to fight straight up instead of skulking about in the shadows and sewers; any enemy of the Constitution and the Republic is my enemy; Baptist, Shi'ite, Su'uni, Methodist, Zoroastrian Monarchist, atheist or agnostic are all one to me, as are foreign or domestic enemies; an enemy is an enemy, period.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:49 PM
9	These moslems (I know about the spelling) must be driven from our shores if we want to keep a free society in a G_d fearing nation. At the same time, however, we also need to do something about the leftist freaks in our country that are driving the values of this nation into the proverbial sewer.	Sep 3, 2010 7:50 PM
10	<p>As a religious Jewish man who does believe in 2ND Admendment rights,I believe that what happened in Germany, will be attempted Here!! That is why I have saved money to buy arms. As well Jews should make aliyah. But most of all.. is the JPFO AWARE OF the amending Executive Order 12425? Do you know what this means?</p> <p>Amending Executive Order 12425 Designating Interpol As A Public International Organization Entitled To Enjoy Certain Privileges,And Immunities. (www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/ececutive-order-amending). Are you aware of what Barack Obama has DONE!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:51 PM
11	Islam is a malignant cancer. You do not tolerate, ignore or negotiate with a cancer; you get rid of it.	Sep 3, 2010 7:54 PM

Response Text		
12	<p>For what it is worth, I take religion completely out of the picture. Based on the Constitution of the United States, I believe Law enforcement should pursue criminals. End of story. It doesn't matter why a criminal prays to. or if he prays at all. If there is a law breaker pursue the criminal.</p> <p>It is not about the tools the criminal uses. Last time I checked the one true god the god of Abraham is the same god head that the "enemy" worships. So in the end what we have here is again a sectarian religious conflict that has spilled into civilian life.</p> <p>Let each citizen hold in their own heart their own spiritual belief. Then lets get on with living good law abiding lives without regard to racial or religious conflict. When we target people by race or religion, we are no longer following the principles of our government. TARGET the CRIMINAL TARGET the TERRORIST. but lets be clear on our definition. How well can we support a government that put thousands of innocent people in camps and tortured them because CIA informants paid a bounty to petty criminals for every suspected terrorist. After years of inhanced interrogation, we find out they were not criminals at all, just islamic people caught in the wrong place worshipping the wrong god.</p> <p>We either take the stand that we need to kill the good with the bad and let god sort them out. or we need to take the time to know who is good and only target the bad, not the suspected bad or the might be bad, but the real guilty of criminal action bad.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:54 PM
13	Exactly my thoughts! I am a member	Sep 3, 2010 7:55 PM
14	<p>First of all, our country was not created on Judeo-Christian values & we do not pray to the same God. Judaism is Pharisaim/Mother of Harlot of Rev.17, as Christianity is of the One True God,Jesus Christ; therefore, Judeo-Christian is an oxy-moron. Anyone of any entnic orgin can accept Jesus Christ as Savior to become a member of the Kingdom of God, but all other beliefs that teach all gods lead to the same Heaven, are as masons/hindus/budhas & all other false-cults are a part of the spirit of antichrist. Hagee,Warren,Land & all other pro-Israel judaisors are leading people to be in acceptance of the Antichrist/Sec.Gen. of the UN, after they move to the temple in Jerusalem. There is only one Way, the Truth, the Life. The True Jews are the 'born again believers' in Jesus Christ.(Romans 2:28,29) There is only one solution.(2 Cor.6:16,17; Rev.18:4,5...23,24) All else will not matter. the truth must be made known to our brothers/sisters first; then, all others that will listen. Thanks for your time, a friend thru Jesus Christ, Dana Alexander www.texemarrs.com , www.truthtellers.org , www.truedemocracy.net , www.midnight-emissary.com , www.sheriffmack.com</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:55 PM
15	I agree with your premise, although in the real world I don't see anyone with the intestinal fortitude it would require to make such a declaration, let alone carry it out... We as Americans are doubtless under siege from within & without and getting more so every day with the open border policies of allowing conflict area resettlement within the borders of the US. Doubtless you've heard of the current administrations willingness to not only fund the PLO, among other terrorist groups with funds for "building & reconstruction" along with just plain cash payments directly to the middle east, an area who by their own volition has become rife with destruction & death. That these progressive liberals in DC feel the need to redistribute American Tax Dollars to Palestine among other areas is just the epitome of idiocy and will doubtless come back to haunt us in the form of bombers & munitions supplied to the likes of hamas & hesbulla (sp?) Anyway, I could go on and on... the insanity of these people that think you can 'buy' your way to safety, or security with these terrorists who preach death and destruction OR conversion.... is just insane.	Sep 3, 2010 7:56 PM
16	<p>1. I believe such a leader would have overwhelming support of the majority of citizens. I doubt any serious violent outbreaks would occur from such actions. It would however, set a wonderful example for other free nations to follow our lead and I believe many would.</p> <p>2. Though I would be seriously out-gunned I would fight to the death defending our constitution and the freedoms it affords us.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 7:57 PM

Response Text		
17	The liberal media and liberal Jews will never agree, even as their throats are being cut....they remain politically correct to the end.	Sep 3, 2010 7:57 PM
18	Mr. Klein seems to be ignorant of the Aramaic word for God and the role the Torah plays in the Koran.	Sep 3, 2010 7:59 PM
19	Some violence would come to the surface. However, it would likely be perpetrated by the same, so-called "tolerant" liberals or perpetually-protesting groups who react in a dangerous/childish manner when THEY disagree with anything not in their sphere of thought. Example: when a black is attacked by a white/asian versus a white being attacked by a group of blacks. Expect the usual appeasers and their minions with well-funded tour buses of protesters-at-the-ready.	Sep 3, 2010 7:59 PM
20	It may be coming...sooner or later....but it is coming! Live free or die!	Sep 3, 2010 8:00 PM
21	time to stock up on guns and ammo	Sep 3, 2010 8:01 PM
22	Not only would I use the Second Amendment, I know how to "use" it. So does the rest of my family. We won't bend for anyone.	Sep 3, 2010 8:03 PM
23	I find Christian militancy just as disturbing as Islamic militancy. The goal of peace on earth won't be obtained by continual violent conflict.	Sep 3, 2010 8:06 PM
24	I would much rather a peaceful solution but I reject their attempting to creat a Muslim State out of the United States. What you do in your country is your business but when you immigrate to this country you should do so with the belief and actions of wanting to assimilate into your adoptive country.	Sep 3, 2010 8:06 PM
25	Excerpt from interview of Lt. Gen. William G. Boykin, U.S. Army (Ret.), former Deputy Undersecretary of Defense for Intelligence, by W. Thomas Smith, Jr: "We need to remember that Islam is not a religion, but a totalitarian way of life with a religious component. Yet we protect the entire thing under the first amendment. Stop and think about it. Islam is a legal system, a political system, a financial system, a dress code, a moral code, and a social structure, yet we protect it as a first amendment issue. That's our fundamental mistake."	Sep 3, 2010 8:06 PM
26	The inference is that "The Leader" might be the Messiah?	Sep 3, 2010 8:10 PM
27	A call to arms for civilians seems to be what you are suggesting. Your second question is ambiguous and inflammatory. I am a former US Army combat commanding officer and we have an Army of brave men and women to defend us. A bunch of folks running around with their firearms and no discipline would be a clusterf--k of major proportion. Anytime there appears a man on a horse, Jews end up suffering.	Sep 3, 2010 8:10 PM
28	I expect rising violence at some point regardless of the leader in power. I would defend my family's right to practice religion as they wished, or not at all, from any oppression. The question becomes, as the last survey pointed out, "at what point . . ." Personally, I feel no need to impose my particular faith, or lack of same, on others. It seems sadly many folks only understand "the press' side" of the First Amendment within the Bill of Rights. I have no right to impose myself upon others, but I do have a right to prevent them from imposing themselves on me . . .	Sep 3, 2010 8:11 PM
29	I think the people are tired of this lip-servie President and his wayward self. I also think there is not a good candidate in line that would be such a person as mentioned in the article for fear that the politcal party would keep him/her from making it to the platform. It is a nasty reality that is moving forward fast and mini laws are chipping away freedoms that people have no clue exist until they are used on them. It is getting worse much faster than I ever expected it to in my life time.	Sep 3, 2010 8:12 PM

Response Text		
30	It is a very true statement when it is said that we fight Islam not Muslim extremists. Islam is the problem not moderate vs extreme Muslims. We will either fight or be gradually or forcefully made into the image of Mouhamed, the Koran, the Haditha and Sharia law instead of the G-D of Abraham, Issac and Jacob. L'Shanah Tovah!	Sep 3, 2010 8:17 PM
31	I MUST ADD HOWEVER, THAT I WOULD ALSO USE THE 2ND AMMENDMENT TO DEFEND INNOCENT NON-VIOLENT MUSLIMS AND THEIR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES...	Sep 3, 2010 8:18 PM
32	How do you agree or disagree with a question? Doesn't make sense. You should have said, "If such a leader came about in America, such comments would lead to violence in our cities." Agree or disagree with this statement. My answer: Maybe. If this leader actually had a serious following, then maybe violence would occur. Next statement should be, "I would use the Second Amendment to defend my country, which is based on Judeo-Christian values, against other religions as specified in the opinion piece." Agree or disagree. My answer: Maybe, if that other religion attempted to overthrow the current government. ps, I only answered "agree" so that I could submit my comments.	Sep 3, 2010 8:19 PM
33	Until we acknowledge that we are warring against a religious group (Muslims) as opposed to a general type of warfare (terror), we can never begin to go on the offensive or even defend against it. Unless we can identify the enemy, how can we ever know who, where or when to attack to eradicate the threat. What we need to do is exactly what the article states. That does not mean that someone from a Muslim country cannot come to and even become an American citizen, but it does mean that they must leave their false gods at home. We have the freedom to practice Christian religion here in the USA, not the freedom to practice ANY religion! Polygamy is not allowed either.	Sep 3, 2010 8:19 PM
34	I cannot agree with a blanket condemnation of Moslems, particularly given the fact that internal pressure causes some Moslems to not renounce their religion, but rather become underground secular Moslems. However, I do believe that the more enthusiastic Moslems have taken their oath of allegiance (when becoming citizens) in bad faith by normal American standards and consideration should be given to revoking said citizenship if the person is unwilling to completely renounce radical Islam and thoroughly embrace the U.S. Constitution and inclusive of the Bill of Rights. Interesting side note: European nations have suffered an almost exclusive immigration by Moslems who seem to be undermining the institutions of said countries. Why are we a little immune to that situation? Because our unwanted and cursed illegal Hispanics are, to a degree, canceling out the Islamic influence by an overwhelming Catholic influence P.S. I would forsake the entire Islamic world to save Israel and I am not Jewish. Having lived in and traveled a little in the MidEast, I have a fair understanding and negative impression of the "Wonderful World of Islam".	Sep 3, 2010 8:19 PM

Response Text		
35	<p>While I agree 120% with the 2nd Amendment, a gun owner and I believe in the Constitution, I must also believe it in its purest form and allow people of various religions to exist in America.</p> <p>I am a follower of Yahweh and a strict Constitutionalist.</p> <p>I am against Sharia Law or any other law that would supersede or conflict with the Constitution.</p> <p>I also know there are Christian Jews and Muslims in Israel and Palestine as well as in America.</p> <p>We must be very careful to protect our rights and the rights of all religions.</p> <p>Secure our borders, stop illegal immigration and if someone does legally come to America, they need to swear to the US Constitution and comply with our laws.</p> <p>In Liberty and peace!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:20 PM
36	<p>Japan feared to invade the USA because everyone was armed. SOME jihadists will attempt to filght, most muslims will avoid the problems.</p> <p>Founding Fathers sent a man to prison for passing out pamphlets denouncing Jesus because it attacks the founding principles of our nation. Communism was a great evil because its goal was to bring down the USA. Islam is no different than that. It IS a political and religious power and they will allow NO religious freedom.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:24 PM
37	<p>"They" are our (Jewish/Christian) sworn enemies. The islamofascists are out to destroy us and our way of life. I would and will use ANYTHING in my power to keep this from happening, as should and will the nation of Israel. America will NOT bow down to these perverts of religion, even if some "leaders" do so.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:31 PM
38	<p>As a Jew, I have zero tolerance for anyone's religion that preaches I should be dead because I'm an infidel. If there is only one choice - I/my family dies or the Islamofascists die, I will fully exercise my Second Amendment rights.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:31 PM
39	<p>Islam and the thoughts and worship surrounding it are perfectly legal unless a group of muslims conspire to hurt or kill others, then (emotions aside) what you would have is nothing more than a conspiracy to harm others. If the individuals are found to be part of a jihad conspiracy then they should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Otherwise, they have the right to worship anything they want and defend their rights by arming themselves. This president is acting as the prosecutor, judge, and jury so there can be no justice. A good leader, rather than attacking a group of people that may or may not be guilty would announce the fact that there is evidence of a grand conspiracy and call on the private citizen militia to be armed and on the lookout for further evidence of conspiracy and high crimes with instruction on the proper, moral, and lawful use of force to stop any crime or invasion.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:31 PM

Response Text		
40	<p>I have studied the teachings of Islam and it is beyond clear what the end intention is, total domination of all peoples. I am not a racist in any way and this issue is not about race any way. Islam frightens me more than the cold war ever did. I see Islam using our own laws and rights to build strength. I detest the way they are abusing 'freedom of religion' to build their infrastructure to dominate us legally. Islam is not a true religion that was inspired by a spiritual deity but originally merely a handbook, written by a greedy merchant, of methods to increase the ease of trading, power and profit for followers through forced or coerced conversion of neighboring countries. In a sense it is a plan of 'leveling the playing field' (a favorite phrase of our president) by any means by applying the same rules (their rules) to all people on earth. Islam is the greatest threat to mankind and freedom in recorded history. I do not know how to stop it's spread and I do not believe that there is a way to stop it or control it that could ever be 'politically correct'. The best that we can hope for is survival through truthful education of non believers of the true goal of Islam which might slow it's growth to some degree. People must know that Islam is nothing but the true face of evil hiding under the term 'religion'!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:33 PM
41	<p>As to the first question I think that violence would ensue if the Islamists dug in and tried to defend their position. Violence is on the cusp right now. It wouldn't take much to push it over the line. Americans can see and read about what is happening right now in France, Spain and England relative to muslims. As to the second question I think that the same syndrome applies. People are fed up with the PC treatment of a system that propounds the destruction of Jews and Americans and their way of life. The media and the political heads are not listening to nor representing the people. Government is not giving the confused and scared populace any good answers. That's why you see the batch of militias and extreme right-wing groups forming.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:37 PM
42	<p>Diving out Muslims when we can't deport illegal aliens and expect them to stay gone? This is the wrong approach to the problem. Reading the Koran (which is only 14% of Islamic "holy writ") we find over 220 self-contradictions, allowing understanding of the Muslim prohibition of any questioning of doctrine whatsoever (called "fitna") under penalty of death. How do we find which contradictory reading is operable? Refer to Koran 2:106 and determine the later reading. How do you determine original Koranic sequences since they have been rearranged from longest to shortest? Pair them up with Mohammed's biography and "Allah's words" will be found sanctioning whatever Mohammed wanted to do at any particular moment, somewhat like an auto-biography supplement to his biography. We also find 30 calls in the Koran for Muslims to obey and imitate Mohammed in every respect, as the perfect role-model - and 40 condemnations to hell of those who do not. This explains the other 86% of Islamic "holy writ:" Mohammed's most trusted biography (Sira) and his "traditions" (aHadith: collections of his words and deeds, not unlike today's police reports from a variety of witnesses). Sharia law, unlike any other legal code, is a condensation, extrapolation and codification of the Koran, Sira and aHadith: the guide for everything Muslim for over a thousand years. It cannot be changed.</p> <p>Defeating political Islam (that which affects non-Muslims) only requires initiation of rational fitna: making Muslims think. Ordinary Muslims see Islam primarily as a religion, culture and legal system; those who study Islam's holy-writ see Islam as the most powerful control device on earth - but certainly not divine - else how can the eternal, perfect, unchanging Koran, that's been at Allah's side since the beginning of time have melded so perfectly with Mohammed's wants and needs as they happened: marrying a six-year-old; lusting after and marrying his adopted son's wife; etc., everything but the names of those involved? Pre-destiny is the only answer - which would leave whatever we do, think, say or fail to do pointless. Interestingly, Allah is neither historically nor textually the same as Jehovah or Yah-weh. And Mohammed accused the Jews of corrupting their Torah and the Christians of corrupting their Gospels - to deny him as the last and greatest Jewish prophet. Ask the questions.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:41 PM

Response Text		
43	<p>I have viewed the Islamic takeover of Europe with alarm. This has been accomplished by taking advantage of the open societies of the West to establish a cultural "beach head" and exploit those open societies and the self-inflicted blindness of Western secular humanism to systematically consolidate and expand their power and influence to take over the West from within.</p> <p>The goal of the establishment of a Universal Caliphate is close to being realized. Some may consider it a dream. I consider it a nightmare.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:41 PM
44	We have become a nation of sheep.	Sep 3, 2010 8:42 PM
45	<p>Extremist religious belief always leads to bloodshed, irregardless of religion. Witness hindu vs buddhist, christian vs muslim, isrealite vs canaanite, and so on. America was founded on more masonic and libertarian beliefs, namely religious tolerance and individual freedom. However, ones freedom to swing their arm ends when it's about to contact my face. This has proven a hard balance to maintain, but a worthwhile pursuit. The 1st and 2nd amendments are key to maintaining tolerance and freedom for all, a defense against dehumanization and genocide. I would expect American muslims to use the 2nd amendment to take a stand against a potential genocide against them in America.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:42 PM
46	<p>Save a few events, true Muslims (not NOI Muslims) have lived as one of the most peaceful and prosperous minorities in America. It is positively shameful that the JPFO would put this on their site, let alone espouse any naturalized citizen be stripped of their rights. This opinion piece runs contrary to every belief and opinion I hold, and looks terrible for an organization that I associate with. Everyone who frequents this site knows that the real enemies are on capitol hill. This article amounts to more than a loss of focus and traction, it is something that will haunt the JPFO and turn otherwise sensible people away from our message. I will use my Second Amendment rights to defend a country created only on pure, egalitarian freedom.</p> <p>P.S. This is precisely the kind of bullshit that has allowed so many genocides including the Holocaust to go un-thwarted.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:48 PM
47	<p>One of the problems that face citizens of the US, is that so many of us tend to believe just what we are told. Many forget that Islam states that, if it is good for Islam, then it is good, and this applies just as much to lying, cheating, deceiving... and what have you, as well as anything else. I work side by side with a Muslim. Externally he appears to be a good person, and speaks of Islam in a negative fashion. Problem is, because he is a Muslim, I cannot believe what he says, because I realize that what he speaks may not have any truth at all, and he may be telling me just what I want to hear. I could be wrong. But I could be right! This is true of any person of Islam. This problem is magnified with those that want to build a Mosque near Ground Zero. So many Americans believe this is harmless, because they believe those that wish to build it. They assume that they are telling the truth, and that it has nothing to do with being some sort of victory monument. Myself and many others believe that it is just that, a monument or shrine to Allah. And Islam states that it is good to do this, because the ends will justify the means. These lies and deceit would be contrary to the teachings of any other religion.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:52 PM
48	The sheeple today will never fight for their country's constitution! They are brain washed by the news media, I'm afraid we are finished as a free nation!	Sep 3, 2010 8:53 PM
49	<p>The violence would initiate from our muslim infiltrators before the general public would retaliate!</p> <p>I will protect myself, family and neighbors; if they will join me in armed and coordinated neighborhood watch groups!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:54 PM
50	<p>Islam is not a religion, rather a religion-based political movement that seeks to take over or destroy everything that is not of Islam! Islam preaches hate, violence and intolerance! The crusades were a response to the invasion and takeover of the holy land and most of southern europe. It is time for another crusade!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 8:57 PM

Response Text		
51	if america stood together and showed all radicals that we are united against them they would try us 1st to see if they could get us divided. if we stand together against them and use our military full force , they will back down. the problem is getting the liberals to face the facts and not make excuses for our enemies.	Sep 3, 2010 8:58 PM
52	As an American citizen and a U. S. military veteran and having lived in the Middle East for a number of years I fully understand the mentality of extreme Muslim Jihadists and if we do not do something to quell the growing number of these fanatics presently living in this country we are asking for trouble in a big time way. We need to kick out all Muslims, their Imams, close their supposed religious facilities or suffer the consequences.	Sep 3, 2010 9:01 PM
53	I believe in Separation of Church and State. This country was founded by Deists and Masons and they were wise enough to keep one's religion as a private personal matter. Islam didn't do 9/11, coke-snorting, stripper-loving Al CIA-duh patsies did it with the help of our government. We should leave the Islamic world alone and use any excuse to occupy their lands forcing them to resist. We would do the same.	Sep 3, 2010 9:03 PM
54	Freedom of religion is not absolute. We can not tolerate the Tuggies and Maw Maw and throwing the virgin into the volcano.	Sep 3, 2010 9:06 PM
55	Too many Americans have become weak willed, politically correct, and too willing to have the government care for them. We have to have a willingness to become the "rugged individual" again. We have to have a revival, in which, we, as a nation, humble ourselves before God Almighty, ask His forgiveness, and submit to His authority. Without all this, we, as a nation are doomed.	Sep 3, 2010 9:08 PM
56	G-D is supreme .we would be fighting not only for ourselves but to return to our original Christain-Judeo values which made America the greataest country ever, in the history of the world . Ever since G-D has been taken out of US schools and public places the US has been in decline and will continue to do so until the US citizen wakes up and smells the coffee.	Sep 3, 2010 9:12 PM
57	I would not fire the first shot, but I would join the other JPFO members - the good guys - in the struggle.	Sep 3, 2010 9:12 PM
58	While I have never taken the military oath to protect and defend the Constitution. I do believe that is a wise course of action. I suspect the violence will begin with the Muslims, as it has in other countries.	Sep 3, 2010 9:14 PM
59	Violence, especially armed violence, should be the LAST resort. We are a nation of laws, and a nation with a constitution and bill of rights that establishes our individual and collective rights. And, in spite of what some liberal (progressive) idiots feel, every person has the RIGHT to defend himself and/or his family. If that what it comes to, I will die on the side of freedom... J. A. Turner Pittsville, MO	Sep 3, 2010 9:17 PM

Response Text		
60	<p>The current leadership of our country, indeed all leaders certainly since 1932 (FDR and on up) and probably since the Civil War, have perverted the intent of our Constitution. So we have an imperialist foreign policy that has caused our people great harm. Our so-called leaders assassinated Mossadegh, Iran's democratically-elected leader, when he tried to nationalize the oil industry; we then installed the Shah who ran a brutally repressive regime for decades, taking money from western multi-national corporations and letting them exploit Iran's resources. Is it any wonder that when the Shah died, the backlash was an Iranian government that hates the US? And ironically, the Iranian PEOPLE want closer ties with the west and the US; it's the leaders that are the nut-jobs (not unlike our own leaders). Our so-called leaders supported the Mujaheddin in Afghanistan in their war against the Soviets; the Mujaheddin wanted to expel the non-believers (Soviets) from their Muslim country. One of the people we supported, indeed all but created, at that time was Osama bin Laden. Once the Soviets were out, we came in. Is it any wonder that he wants us out? We are just another infidel nation, as far as he is concerned. And why do we maintain such a strong military presence in the mid-East? To export democracy? Please. It's the oil, people. Why should our young men and women in the military give their blood, their limbs, their lives, merely to act as an international police force for the multi-national corporations that have no loyalty to the American people? And without the support of our government, Israel would have disappeared long ago. Now I don't believe that Israel should be destroyed; but I also believe that it is clear that Israel committed ethnic cleansing against the Palestinians, and they are getting away with refusing to make peace with their neighbors only because of the support of the US. Where does the Constitution authorize the kind of riches we've been sending to Israel as foreign aid? Our government should be taking care of its people, not trying to run the world. The threat of global jihad is only partly truth; the real truth is that the Muslims who hate us hate the greedy imperialists who run our foreign policy. And those fat cat imperialists are happy to hold up the jihadists in front of us as the bogeyman; that way, they can justify perpetual war. After all, how can you possibly eradicate all Muslims? As this opinion piece said, they are NOT a minority in the world. This is exactly what George Orwell predicted in "1984" : perpetual war, perpetual enemies at the gate, perpetual justification for more military adventurism abroad and more limitations of Constitutional freedoms at home, in the interest of national security. I'm sorry to say that the noble experiment of the American Republic is dying, and I'm afraid it's too late to save it. And there certainly is no Republican or Democrat capable of the job; as George Wallace said of all of them, "Ain't but a dime's worth of difference."</p>	Sep 3, 2010 9:21 PM
61	<p>The right idea is to keep our laws in tact and all most conform to them and not us to theirs. The "radical" muslims must be repelled, not all muslims..</p>	Sep 3, 2010 9:23 PM
62	<p>We are long overdue in condemning Islam for what it is, a relentless conquest in the guise of a religion. We are at risk because of a torrent of apologists, supporters, those in denial, and just outright cowards. Like illegal aliens, they've not only learned to game the system, but also how best to use our own tolerance against us.</p> <p>At the very least, it's an abuse of hospitality, at it's worst, a social guerilla war undermining our very existence. Both are worthy grounds for expulsion.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 9:33 PM

Response Text		
63	<p>Perhaps an effort could be made to allow existing muslims in the US to be repatriated to a land which respects their idea of a religious sanctuary, say Saudi Arabia? The whole religion should be classed as "Persona non grata" and even "enemies of the state". All mosques or other property of Islamic faith should be sold to pay down the National Debt. If muslims refuse to leave the country, I think the Roman concept of being outside of the protection of the laws of the state... thus an "outlaw", would be the best way to handle remaining muslims. All books or other records of their faith should be destroyed. Efforts to impede their web sites should also be made.</p> <p>I must also say however, that I have no problem at all imagining that in a following generation, the same precedents and procedures which might be used to mitigate the threat of muslims will be used against Christianity or Judaism. Short of a massive religious revival, a returning to G-d, I don't foresee an end to this problem.</p> <p>So many other things need to be done in addition to this. 1934 NFA and 1968 GCA should be repealed to allow citizens the right to defend themselves against "all enemies foreign and domestic" especially islam and it's adherents. End the import of oil or trade in general with muslim nations. Industrial espionage, state-sponsored economic attacks and outright war should be used to reduce the disposable income of muslim states. Personal income taxes should be eliminated, the vast majority of the federal government should be dismantled so that citizens have the money to purchase arms, ammo and training to repel invaders. Federal agencies should be banned from the use of firearms while on the job to remind them that this is a union of STATES and the states run the show except for the very limited powers ascribed to them by the Constitution. The federal government, state governments and even local governments should get out of the business of education IMMEDIATELY. All entitlements, including Medicaid, Medicare and Social Security, should be ended IMMEDIATELY. All federal property should be sold at auction to pay down the Federal Debt unless a conservative reading of the Constitution shows a role for this property. Senators should be elected by the states again. Presidents should have line-item veto capability. Women should be expelled from the Armed Forces and mandatory enlistment of all males should be instated. All troops exiting the military or guard should be able to buy all of their gear, INCLUDING their weapon, for \$1. Any judge or official who has voted for an abridgment of the second amendment or included foreign law in a consideration should be tried for treason, and when convicted, shot. The IRS should be re-tasked to hold government accountable for EVERY dollar that they spend. All bills should be published on the Internet for at least 6 months and be put into a format that the blind or any other constituent with special needs would be able to access. Lock down the border. With an end to entitlements, there should be minimal requirements put upon any incoming immigrant except to have no affiliation with Islam and that they be able to speak English and provide for themselves within three years or be removed from the country. 50% of regulations be removed in two years.</p> <p>I believe this would be a great start on an economic recovery that would allow the US to then begin a world war against Islam. A commitment to Jews and Christians around the world should be made such that if they ask for help, our people would be in a position to render that aid.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 9:41 PM
64	I would definately use my second amendment rights in a situation like the article presents;to do otherwise would be letting down my family and my beloved country!	Sep 3, 2010 9:43 PM

Response Text		
65	<p>I would protect and defend the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and use the rights granted me by the Supreme Court to protect and defend my life, family and my property. It is my desire for this country to return to its Judeo-Christian roots! There is no place in America for a religion that preaches the domination and persecution of all other religions. That will not be tolerated. Soon we will be electing new representation and if these representatives do not follow the wishes of the people we will remove them and vote in citizen/statesmen and women that will govern by the will of "We The People".</p> <p>Anthony G. Yates Sergeant USMC veteran</p>	Sep 3, 2010 9:43 PM
66	<p>People in the United States, and the world, have been so inundated with a Marxist ideology of "separate but equal" thru the media and schools that such comments by a potential leader would largely fall on deaf ears, or at most lead to 'stimulating' intellectual discussions in college classrooms.</p> <p>I agree that such comments, if given national exposure, would surely cause all patriots to stand up, but a dumbing down by the media would make the overall reaction fall short of what's needed for a revolution. We have become passive and apathetic.</p> <p>I agree with Bridgette Gabriel that "They Must Be Stopped", but with a Muslim birth rate 3-4 times higher than the rest of America, what will be done with those already here? Surely, Q#2 is not about ...</p>	Sep 3, 2010 9:48 PM
67	<p>In the America I grew up in, and the America I love, we do not deny a person their Natural Rights for what they may believe, or profess. All beliefs MUST be tolerated if we seek true Liberty in America.</p> <p>We should not deny people's Rights based on their religion and the belief of others outside that religion regarding what belief in said religion MIGHT cause them to do, any more than we should deny people the protection of the 2nd Amendment because of what some people believe the mere possession of a gun might cause them to do.</p> <p>Breaking a just law, or violating the Equal Rights of another IS cause for action, such as prison, exile or whatever the law stipulates as a just punishment.</p> <p>Historically, tremendous evil has been perpetrated in the name of the Almighty (or "The Gods). By Muslims, by Jews, Pagans, by Protestants against Catholics, Catholics against Protestants, and on and on, back to the beginnings of humanity.... People have, with depressing frequency, "discovered" justification for genocide and oppression in their sacred texts, in the sacred texts of the religions of those To Be oppressed, in the words of their own priests and in the words of the priests of other faiths. What is proposed in this article would be just another chapter in a history overflowing with such evil.</p> <p>Should JPFO actually come out in support of such a course of action, I would no longer care to associate myself with the organization. An association, I might add, that I have been proud of since the day I discovered JPFO's existance and came to know what we stand for. It would hurt me deeply to do so, but I would have to relegate my membership to the scrap-heap, right on top of my former membership in the NRA.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 9:56 PM
68	<p>Shariha law is antithetical to our constitution. We are at war with Islam. They want war; bring it on.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 10:00 PM

Response Text		
69	<p>We need to preserve the rights of all our citizens, including Muslims. However, there are limits to the first amendment's protections of religious freedom that are well established by court cases including for example limitations on plural marriages by Mormons, restrictions on parental ability to refuse medical treatment to their own children. Could there be a basis for some limited use of shariat law for Muslims? If, and only if there were a basis within Muslim law to distinguish between ritual and civil law (as there is for Jews and Catholics, for whom the law of the land takes precedence except under some very narrow circumstances, both traditions based on the prophet Jeremiah). Currently, there is no mainstream Muslim group that accepts such a distinction. Shia (but not Sunni) had been moving in that direction, but the Iranian revolution put a halt to that. And the few imams that have tried to promulgate such a course have been murdered, not very conducive to others taking the lead. Further, under current Islamic rulings, Islam is prohibited from making peace, except of a temporary nature (a Hudna) with infidels -- and deception on those intentions is permitted (Again the leading cleric in Islam taking issue with that was murdered). So, the nature of an acceptable agreement is problematical. Interestingly, the Roman Empire of the East (also known as Byzantine or Greek), understood this, and their foreign policy manuals (by the way, the first people in history to create such manuals) called for maximum duration of any treaty with Islamic nations of 30 years, (one generation) the maximum allowed under the Koran.</p> <p>While I think it may be possible to have peaceful and law-abiding Muslims in our midst, the Imams preaching and providing leadership have been radicalizing anyone that is trying to live as an American, typically with support from Wahabis in Saudi Arabia (oil money at work). We need to monitor what they are preaching and deal forcefully with sedition and support of terrorism. We cannot allow our first ammendment legal protections to become a cover for seditious and treasonous activity. God willing, I will never have to use my 2nd ammendment rights to defend our way of life on domestic shores, but we must all be brave enough to deal with the very real threats to suere that we never get to that point.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 10:01 PM
70	<p>There will be a time when we all will have to consider those two possibilities and make our stand.</p> <p>The day of being a disconnected bystander is seeing its sunset, I believe.</p> <p>(PS: Those questions... should probably have been worded something like.."Do you agree that such leader would lead to violence?".. _ Yes _No Just a suggestion.)</p>	Sep 3, 2010 10:10 PM
71	<p>Rounding up and deporting those whose religion we do not agree with is NOT defense. I believe fully in using the Second Amendment to defend any country.</p> <p>Judging any group by the actions of a few members is the same sort of fuel the anti-gun movement uses against us.....</p>	Sep 3, 2010 10:11 PM
72	<p>Islam is NOT a religion of peace. It was born in violence, and hopefully, will die before dragging the entire world into a nuclear holocaust. Jews around the world have to be armed to protect themselves and their loved ones before it's too late. It's already too late for Europe. Other than a civil war in Germany, France, Belgium, and even the UK, Islam is going to be the rule rather than the minority.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 10:12 PM

Response Text		
73	<p>The reality of our future has for too long been ignored by most Americans. Most liberals and their moderates see America today as somehow "evolving toward its destiny". It sickens me to talk to friends and colleagues who continue to ignore the obvious. The declared war (begun in 1979--known by some as the "Thirty-one Year War) has been ignored to the point of cowards of us all. WWII was won in no small part by a patriotic news media. The liberal media actively worked to lose 'Nam and continues to undermine our every efforts to this day.</p> <p>My greatest frustration is that those of us who saw this coming early on have been unsuccessful in sounding the alarm.</p> <p>When will it be too late? Currently, we are already behind . . . for me, losing is not an option!</p> <p>Show me the leader described in "The Leader".</p>	Sep 3, 2010 10:13 PM
74	i swore a oath to defend against all enemies - foreign and domestic.	Sep 3, 2010 10:15 PM
75	I believe that islam is a political creed that uses religion as part of it's tools to achieve it's goal of world domination. Unfortunately, the majority of muslims in this world do not believe or understand that and are being lead. We basically have the same situation as the relationship of Germans to nazis as muslims to islamic jihadist leaders. A "kill them all, let G-d sort them out" plan is wonderfully simplistic and would be desirable if it could work. I don't think it would, unfortunately. That being said, my answers still hold for as long as my ammo lasts and my knife holds an edge. I'm not walking into a gas chamber!!!!!!	Sep 3, 2010 10:33 PM
76	Those of us who are now elderly and who grew up with patriotic ancestors and in a patriotic environment that used to be especially present in the small towns and rural areas of this nation, especially in the South, are quite probably the majority source of citizens who would rise to the occasion, willing to risk their all: their future, their 'fortunes" and their very lives and that of their families just as our ancestors did in founding this great country. "Freedom is Never Free, It has always had to be and will always have to be Blood Bought!"	Sep 3, 2010 10:35 PM
77	Being the fact that America's problems stem from the Leadership of the Whites, Blacks, Hispanic, Christian, Jewish, ect. What is the point of targeting Islam as a whole. It seems some want to denigrate or target another when they should be looking into themselves. It is the character of the man not his Religion that is of importance.	Sep 3, 2010 10:50 PM
78	Is Islam simply a religion? Experts on Point of View radio agree that Islam is a system of government masquerading as a religion. How many other religions install their religious heads as rulers to establish anything like Sharia law? As fair minded as I want to be, mosques and all, for the rest of the country to go the same way as of parts of Detroit with its heavy Islamic influence is not something I want to live under. As a matter of fact, neither do the women of that "faith".	Sep 3, 2010 10:52 PM
79	Will will need divine act of god to produce such an indiviual to become the American President and issue such a crystal clear statement for ALL the world to hear!!!	Sep 3, 2010 10:52 PM
80	Always a forced issue.....	Sep 3, 2010 11:04 PM

Response Text		
81	<p>While serving aboard a ballistic missile submarine I became convinced that the fall of the Soviet Union should be the start of nuclear disarmament, I now realize how naive I was. Since nuclear weapon construction cannot be unlearned and nuclear weapons are already in the hands of governments which cannot be trusted it is incumbent on the U.S. to maintain and probably use our nuclear arsenal. Fundamentalist Muslim governments like Iran have made it clear they will not desist in their pursuit of nuclear weapons and have shown no hesitancy to use terrorist organizations for political goals we must assume that we will have to take action to prevent the use of these weapons. If we fail to prevent a nuclear attack by a terrorist group anywhere on Earth we will be forced to respond with nuclear weapons to prove that our threats of retaliation have teeth. As far as Muslims in America are concerned we must monitor all groups which espouse violence including immediate deportation for non-citizens. Anyone from a Muslim country illegally crossing our borders should be considered an enemy combatant and held as such. Further, the U.S. should put some spine into our foreign policy and let every country know that failure to support individual right to worship as one chooses is not only a dis-qualifier for most favored nation trading status but also conditional on any foreign aid whatsoever. Those nations which either directly or via lax enforcement of laws allow Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Hindu or even Muslims to be assaulted, murdered, or unjustly imprisoned must come to realize that we will no longer tolerate this behavior and will use our economic power to replace those regimes and when necessary use our military might to protect the G-d given rights of all persons. We in the U.S. must realize we have a duty to train and arm innocent peace loving individuals everywhere on Earth. If we were smart we would have delivered small arms and trainers to every Jew and Christian in Dar fur and stopped the genocide the only way it can be stopped. We should have stood toe to toe with the "leaders" of Dar fur and told them they can accept this policy or declare war on the U.S. and then prepare to meet their G-d face to face.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:11 PM
82	<p>You can only appease so long, and then you are giving up your own rights, These folks are programmed like the terminator in the movie, One goal, they will never stop and they believe that they is nothing wrong with whatever it takes to reach that goal. As a religious group, they are guaranteed freedom, as a terrorist group they should be guaranteed persecution, expulsion or death.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:17 PM
83	<p>Before this statement would ever be made in America, or this position would be taken by a US leader; monkeys REALLY would fly out of my ass! Really.</p> <p>I am not stating that this position is a bad idea, I am just stating that our leaders are chosen by and ordered by the globalists who own and control them.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:17 PM

Response Text		
84	<p>The position taken in support of a plan to remove all Muslims from the US is more horrible than words can say. It is exactly what Hitler did with respect to Jews: GROUP GUILT. The very last thing that any American would want is to have their government forcibly migrating (or maybe even killing) a group for the deeds of a very few.</p> <p>Should we be stupid enough to elect a leader who favored such a policy, the people would be under an obligation to overthrow such an evil leader, just as we ask how the Germans stood quietly by as Hitler rounded up and killed 6 million jews.</p> <p>They had gun control in their way. We have gun freedom so we would have no excuse.</p> <p>As children, we learned history that seemed to say that wars were often fought over religious differences. We thought how stupid that was. Now we see how a little propaganda can get a vocal minority howling for blood. Remember that leaders fight their wars for their own purposes. The phony reasons they give us are propaganda to get us to support their evil wars.</p> <p>Remember very recent history when there was blood lust over Iraq for mythical WMD and a cultivated false belief that Saddam planned 9-11 when he had zero to do with it. Remember Viet Nam where there was an outrage over a mythical attack in the Gulf of Tonkin.</p> <p>Think of all the blood and treasure wasted in these idiotic wars because we blamed large groups for the supposed actions of a few.</p> <p>Think of the millions of those others who died at our government's hands for nothing and the enemies we have made over there where the same group guilt idiocy festers. We have been playing a child's game of Tit for Tat with the Arab world at least since the 1950s except that we are using real bombs and guns. Nothing justifies what has been done.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:18 PM
85	<p>Although I agree with some points in this article, I believe that for the most part it would be unconstitutional. Secondly, with a well mapped out strategy I believe that with effective national leadership a wedge could be driven between most practitioners of Islam and the radical Islamists. The way your questions are posed leave very little for nuance.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:18 PM
86	<p>People, read your history. Islam(a bastarized vervsion of the Bible, believe it or not-, then look it up),has always been a murderous cult. Their disrespect for human rights has no equal. They must be eliminsted, this tme, completely! It is a matter of survival of civilization as we know it. WAKE UP PEOPLE-TIME IS SHORT! Disagree, look at Europe!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:20 PM
87	<p>In my opinion,The only good muslim is a dead one.The sooner we realize that,the sooner the threat can be neutralized.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:22 PM
88	<p>This is a truly frightning possibility and the politically correct in this country are trying to make it happen using Eric Holder's jusdice department. Just like Herr Hitler's gun control act of 1936.</p> <p>That is my opinion.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:27 PM
89	<p>Islam or the Muslim "religion" is not a religion! It is a law! I repeat it is not a religion it is a law. They are hell bent on either converting us to their way of thinking or they will kill us! It is that simple. It is a matter of fact and anyone who has even tried to read the koran should know this? If you profess that you are a Jew or a Christian they will not even bother trying to convert you they will just kill you period! I most definitely would and will resist until my dying breath with all means possible even without the second amendment. I hope our elected representatives open their eyes and see the light but I don't hold out much hope of that occurring as they are to busy filling their pockets and building their power structures and egos! God Bless America! A retired Military veteran and retired law Enforcement Agent!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:42 PM
90	<p>We will oppose all opponents of our counstitution both foreign and domestic.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:43 PM

Response Text		
91	<p>The mistake so many folks make is regarding islam as a Abrahamic religion. In fact, islam is an aggressive political system with the mission of world domination. Don't believe me, just look at the Peace and Love expressed by moslems towards each other and other religions in the news every day. Yes, they have their eyes set on dominating the USA!</p> <p>But, you will say, not all moslems are 'radical'. Most want to just live their lives. Fine, if they don't support what the aggressive 'minority' is doing in the name of allah, where are their voices in protest?!!! Cricket!</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:53 PM
92	<p>Jefferson stated: "The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is it's natural manure."</p> <p>The time is coming to validate his words with the blood of enemies both foreign and domestic. Political correctness be damned. Survival of our liberties takes priority over "tolerance," greed, complicit political behavior and treason.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:53 PM
93	<p>Dear Friends: Our Judeo-Christian values are opposing MORE than a single religion! The Globalists are USING the Islamists, and after(and IF...) the Judeo-Christians are put down, the Globalists will then go after the Islamists. The Globalists will then use some "excuse" to dynamite the Islamic Gettos. The islamists are currently, the "point of the Spear" against ALL religion, as the Globalists want people to "worship" the U.N. "Super-State", not G-d. Currently, the Christians "back-up" the lower numbers of Jewry, and that's as it should be, for Christians (--most) recognize that Jews are "G-ds CHOSEN-people". Once Christianity is disposed of/contained/neutralized, then the Shoah will begin anew. To oppose the Islamists is NOT to ONLY oppose a "religion", because Islam INCLUDES a "Fascistic-component of Governance"(-Sharia-law), so Islam is a type of Fascism ATTACHED to beliefs that are religious. What most people don't "get" is that unless the Fascism(sharia) is opposed, the religion of Islam will become a "state-religion" by default. This has happened in the EU, where Islamic areas of EU cities are "No-go" areas for Europeans/tourists. Because the danger of Islam is from the fascism(Sharia), Islam MUST be opposed, but if Islam were solely a religion, I, myself, couldn't justify using the 2nd ammendment, except to personally defend MY personal rights. I don't go into Islamic-controlled areas, so I have no "need" of a 2nd ammendment-defense against islam, EXCEPT that I'd defend the 2nd amendment, to allow me to protect against ALL... who would invade my personal space (--home, car). If a move is made against the Islamics, I... can't do it, myself--that'd have to be done by a militia/policing entity. Because of the afore-mentioned "duality", I don't know if any significant numbers of citizens will recognize that we must expell the Islamists. They should know that Sharia-law is INCOMPATIBLE with our Constitution, (which the Globalists WANT to replace with the significantly LESSER U.N. General Declaration of Human Rights). If the Islamists find out they will not be tolerated if they cleve to the political/fascistic duality, then Islam allows them to LIE and perhaps prevent deportation by "denouncing" Jihad. If Jihad is generally denounced, they will hie-into their Gettos, taking over the USA, in the future, by producing the most babies, just as they are doing all over the EU now. In the EU, several wives are allowed to one husband, and those wives then sign-up for welfare, busting-out the Social Services, and easily producing many babies. If the politicians are Socialist, Globalist, Democrat, RINO, or otherwise "bought" by Arab money (--like NYC Mayor Bloomberg, who has extensive investments in Dubai, etc., and has reportedly stated he will "deliver" the ground-zero mosque to the Islamists), they will obstruct efforts to bring Islam to heel in this country, until it will take house-house combat/"pre-ROE engagements" to remove islamists from their Gettos (--like if they were likely to be deported, and REFUSED...to go quietly). I'm hoping G-d will arrive before a local/state militia decides it has had "enough", taking the situation out of our hands. I hear from various sources that He's on His way. God Bless us all, we're living in "interesting" times.</p>	Sep 3, 2010 11:56 PM

Response Text		
94	Islam is obviously a clear and present danger to our nation. Any religion that glorifies violence, and even believes that non-believers should be killed does not belong in America. There is room here for any religion or group whose beliefs differ from the mainstream; that's what America is about. When that "religion" advocates violence, however, it cannot be allowed to stand. If you know you're going to be attacked, STRIKE FIRST!	Sep 4, 2010 12:11 AM
95	Let's roll!	Sep 4, 2010 12:15 AM
96	Because I've been acquainted with Arabic muslims throughout my life (there are quite a number of them here in New Mexico), I would hesitate to simply round them up and deport them, and certainly want to think long and hard about using force without some serious justification. I've met a few that were openly hostile toward America, most were kind, friendly people who worked at their businesses, paid their bills and worried about their kids just like the rest of us. Some went out of their way to help me once.. On that occasion, my Mother was marooned in my pickup on a very hot July afternoon at a gas station run by Arabic people. My truck was dead, and as my mother had just been released from the hospital following a stroke, I was afraid to leave her alone while I went a few blocks for help. I explained the situation to the husband and wife team that managed the station. The wife went outside with a large cup of ice water and gave it to my mom and stayed with her while I was gone. I offered to pay for the drink, but they wouldn't take anything. They were just nice people helping out in an emergency. This is not to say that I would tolerate terrorism, or some manner of threatening behavior--like one sees in some European countries, but I think it's important not to go off the deep end and paint everyone of this or any particular religious faith with the same broad brush. Not every Christian burned witches, or tortured "heretics" into confessing their heresy and then executed them. If all of the world's billion or so muslims were inclined toward terrorism, I think we'd see a lot more of it.	Sep 4, 2010 12:22 AM
97	Keep in mind that in islam, lying is made holy (taqiyya and kithman) and a good deed so moslems would very easily tell us they are not moslems but slime into our country anyway. They will tell us anything to advance islam.	Sep 4, 2010 12:23 AM
98	Fire arms are a right under the Constitution, guns are for food and protection. We deserve, in a Free Republic, to own a fire arm. Period. I do not want to be left with out protection from enemies from with in and from out side our borders.	Sep 4, 2010 12:25 AM
99	Mama Liberty needs to open a good world history book. Sounds like she never heard of the Ottoman Empire. Perhaps she has been too busy doing her own thing?!	Sep 4, 2010 12:30 AM

Response Text		
100	<p>In the first case, who's to say what religion this nation was founded upon?</p> <p>Certainly most —but not all— of the Founders were Christian in some way.</p> <p>In the second case, to pretend to use the Second Article of Amendment as some kind of shield is the worst kind of thinking. What would happen, say, if the religious thought in this nation veered so far to the left that 'NO RELIGION' was considered the 'norm?'</p> <p>Would it be proper to employ force against any other person, merely that he held a contrary belief?</p> <p>I think NOT!</p> <p>The First Article of Amendment speaks clearly succinctly on the matter: "Congress shall make =NO= law ..." Emphasis mine.</p> <p>NO LAW means just that: NO LAW.</p> <p>One has every right to practice his religion just so long as his 'practice' does no harm to another's life, liberty, and/or property.</p> <p>In that matter of religion in OUR free country, the jihadi are certainly welcome to their THOUGHTS, but they have neither AUTHORITY nor ANY RIGHT to carry out their beliefs into ACTIONS against any other. And should they attempt such, then they will have COMPLETELY invalidated their religious holdings.</p> <p>And that includes all others of whatever religious beliefs, or lack thereof.</p> <p>Finally, there's this: For many in this world, there is that thought regarding politics wherein their holdings amount to the essence of a religious belief. That is to say that their political beliefs are the essence of a religion in and of itself.</p> <p>Case in point: Communists, socialists, statists, fascists, altruists, and any other polity which connives the deprive everyone of his rightful liberty and property with the thought of 'redistribution.'</p> <p>When you consider the matter, the Jihadi have the identical thought process as the aforementioned polities. That is, they all desire to turn everyone into a digit. A number to manipulated with a miasmic sameness to the point of being the living dead: Obey or die!</p> <p>The only thing they —and their ilk— deserve from the rest of us, is a turned cheek with a determined repose to not suffer them for very long.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 12:42 AM
101	<p>It seems that such a leader coming to power would likely mean that significant violence had already broken out in our cities, suburbs and maybe countryside, too.</p> <p>Furthermore, the 2nd Amendment is more likely going to be used to defend individuals and groups against an already rogue central government than it will be used to fend off Muslims or any other religious faction attacking US. Whatever comes in this regard, it will be from within our own borders.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 12:43 AM
102	And take Obama, Reid, Pelosi and Clinton with them	Sep 4, 2010 12:49 AM
103	Selling your coat to buy a sword is very intelligent advice. I believe my Savior and Lord requires me to fight against evil, I will do my utmost to comply with His comandment...	Sep 4, 2010 12:50 AM
104	how come no one has brought up the point that in saudi arabia there are no christian churches or jewish synagogues?	Sep 4, 2010 12:55 AM

Response Text		
105	While I agree that the worldwide majority of muslims are either guilty or complacent in the jihad against our nation, I do not believe that we should abandon our values and principles. The freedom to practice the religion of your choice, free from persecution is a foundational principle of the United States and one which we can not abandon lightly. Also, the concept of innocence until proven guilty must be adhered to. While they may be a minority, there are Muslims who oppose the fundamentalists jihad. Sacrificing the core values that make this the most successful and most free nation on earth would undermine the very thing we seek to defend. It can never be allowed.	Sep 4, 2010 12:55 AM
106	The true peace-loving Muslims would be no problem. Trouble would arise from a radical minority both within and without the Muslim/Islamic community; i.e. gangs others of the criminal mindset. The Second Amendment is non-specific in who or what individual or group YOU may need to exercise use of weapons against in defence of your life and the life of others. In any case the 2nd Amendment is a Proscription upon the general government to not hinder the natural right of self defence. The principle on non-aggression says Not to initiate the use of force against another or to advocate use of force on yours behalf, but is fully supporting of use of force in Defence of self and others. The self proclaimed intent of the jihad advocates in affect makes them and their followers fair game in self defence of your self and others when they implement their planned war.	Sep 4, 2010 1:03 AM
107	Islam must not be accepted as a religion. If it is, then muslims will continue to use our constitution against us. Their plan is to "out breed" us (OBL had 24 children), take over the government and impose sharia (sp?) law. they must be stopped now or later. Either will result in violence. Violence to oppose them now or violence later when they are in power.	Sep 4, 2010 1:06 AM
108	Islam is not a religion. It is a Foreign Law. We must recognize that religion is only one aspect of Islam's Qur'an. The rest of this character advances ideas, social behavior, and laws that are in direct conflict with American and western laws and values. Teddy Roosevelt once said that to live in America, immigrants must have undivided loyalty to America and to no one else. How is that possible for Muslims who swear loyalty to Islam where their governing laws are found in the Qur'an?	Sep 4, 2010 1:07 AM
109	The Constitution does my talking for me.	Sep 4, 2010 1:12 AM
110	Question 1: Such comments and a firm stance would probably lead to a greater level of violence, than currently exists in our cities. I have no idea how much of an increase there might be, but probably at least some. I believe that violence would probably come from both sides of the issue. Question 2: I disagreed because the choices as stated are too narrow. Dealing with Muslims who wish to force Americans to Islam can be dealt with in a myriad of ways, not just the 2 options listed.	Sep 4, 2010 1:18 AM

Response Text		
111	Dear Sirs: The muslim religion is entirely based on comic book horse crap! I can't fathom why any man, (since women aren't really allowed to participate), would have bought into this total nonsense back in the 8th century. Talk about backward and illiterate! I am looking forward to the coming massacre and eventual purge of these raghead maggots from America's shores and the turning of ALL their mosques into rubble!!! 2nd Amendment or not, America needs to wake up right now! Individuals and families that are determined to survive this onslaught need to prepare without the "false" promised assistance of any government entity. There is no room in America for these troublemakers, deceivers, and terrorists, under the guise of religious freedom. This has nothing to do with religion, and everything to do with invasion and terrorism! They need to be destroyed without mercy and the time to begin is NOW! We WILL take our country back, regardless of the body count!!!	Sep 4, 2010 1:18 AM
112	I think that any enemy of the United States should be destroyed	Sep 4, 2010 1:19 AM
113	My family and I are ones in Christ, we belong to a local fundamental baptist church and love to worship the Lord. We also go out soul-winning every Saturday morning. Do I take my sidearm with me? Sure, just like I take it everywhere else I go. In other words, danger is always lurking around the corner waiting for a helpless victim to fall prey and I believe being armed and alert is one of the best ways to get around that. So, whether religious, political, or financial if my family and I are ever attacked, I will always be ready to defend.	Sep 4, 2010 1:21 AM
114	I'd prefer this as a reason to fight in the streets, than what it looks like will happen under the current administration, as they take away our rights in bigger chunks, until we're left with no recourse than to fight against our own officials!	Sep 4, 2010 1:27 AM

Response Text		
115	<p>Solving the Arab-Muslim Problem:</p> <p>This article makes a lot of sense. Liberty is only for we who believe the true religions, and not for them. Do not try and spread the concepts of freedom, liberty, the Bill of Rights to Arabs and Muslims. Theses Arab Semites and religious right islamo-fanatics are lesser sub human races who cannot fathom such doctrines. Best to deploy the final solution with such lesser breeds. It is a religion founded by a capitalist pig merchant - enough said!</p> <p>A good start would be to make all Muslims and peoples of Arabic, Palestinian, other non-Jewish Semitic races in the U.S.A. wear a yellow crescent or star on their clothes. This way we can easily identify them and hassle them if they dare come around God fearing righteous people. Just look at the man in New York that was misidentified as a Muslim. We need to prevent that from happening again.</p> <p>The only way to make sure we are targeting the right people is to have them marked. When they are forced to wear yellow crescents and stars on their clothes we can spot them easily.</p> <p>We can shun them and hassle them and eventually get them to move into special neighborhoods where they can be watched. If there is ever another terrorist event, they are all committed by Muslims after all, they can be easily rounded up and sent to internment camps, and walled off neighborhoods, where they can't cause any more trouble.</p> <p>The entire country would be better off then. We would need to limit the amount of food and supplies we allow them of course. We need that food for the rest of the country. Some might steal food from each other in the camp, but that is just the nature of these sub humans.</p> <p>If overcrowding begins in the camps, they do breed faster than everyone else of course; they could be sterilized or euthanized to control their population. Actually euthanasia is really not the right term. After all, we are at war killing them over there before they kill us over here, so actually it is just a part of the war against terror to round up all Muslims and kill them.</p> <p>We should do the same with anyone who is a Muslim sympathizer. Anyone who defends Muslims is espousing anti-American propaganda and is an anti-American traitor. We kill traitors!</p> <p>If we do all of that, the world would finally be a safe place. It is time to quit talking and start acting with these resonable first steps. We are a Christian nation (well mostly Chrisitan for now), but we are meant to be Christian only, and not just any old creed of Christianity - only the true Christians. America is for true Christians only.</p> <p>When Thomas Jefferson proclaimed that "All men are created equal, and are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights," he certainly was not referring to such lesser breeds of near men. The Bill of Rights should only apply and the Constitution should only be followed to the extent it furthers our plans. That is clearly how it was intended - a "Living Constitution" of course. It certainly was not meant for these Middle Eastern breeds. They are responsible for all the evils in the world: constant foreign invasions and occupations, nuclear detonations on civilian cities, water torture, overthrowing foreign democratically elected leaders and replacing them with military puppet dictators propped up with funding and weapons of mass destruction, terrorist training to teaching how to create IEDs for use against Soviet occupiers, etc</p> <p>Benjimin Aaron Goast</p>	Sep 4, 2010 1:42 AM
116	Read the US Constitution. It isn't for just Judeo-Christians. It is for all of us.	Sep 4, 2010 1:54 AM

Response Text		
117	<p>this islamic jahad of tryng to convert a country that was originally judae christian not catholic not jesuit not moslems not buddha not any foreign deity is to be allowed and pray to in this country im a member of a distant group american indian and other of the house lion of judah i feel ashamed to be american when we have all of these fecal matter dieties or i mean daemons being worshipped here if i am correct what was all of the witch trials about to get rid of these daemomic worshippers even the masonic lodge and mormansim is a affront to me since they foiment the same tripe the second amendment gave us the right to fight a enemy in our country but the luneie frenge are stealing our freedoms and making them priveleges u dont want preveliges but my freedoms the judges should be all hung for treason against us the people of this country fro Yahwh called for their downfall did he not and that is to obey his commands to tear down all tyrants and be free not a slave</p>	Sep 4, 2010 2:38 AM
118	If we engage in the tactics of terrorists, we are no different from them.	Sep 4, 2010 2:58 AM
119	Jews,,, American !! I'm a Baptist ! My life, my gun , To protect us all !!! We will win !!	Sep 4, 2010 3:11 AM
120	Well, unfortunately, there's that whole 1st amendment bit. "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances." While the premise of defending our country against invasion is solid, the lawyers will have a field day trotting out the 1st amendment as an excuse to not defend ourselves. Unless...Somebody has the fortitude to outright ban the Islamic faith in this country. Somehow I don't see that happening.	Sep 4, 2010 3:14 AM
121	I agree 100% with Moma Liberty.....All the author is trying to do is justify tryanny using the constitution.I am a Jew and Moslems are the the new scapegoats, History is repeating itself. The fact that we are having this discussion is a a SHAME. If congress declared war on Moslems and I wrote this piece. I would probalby be accused of sedition aqnd being a traitor. I will not enter a cattle car to be transported to a death or work camp nor will I allow my friends and neighbors to subjugated in such away. To even allow such a discussion to me is obscene.....The enemy is within!	Sep 4, 2010 3:23 AM
122	If it were possible to reason with the animalistic mindset of the muslim, then I would not see a need for violent confrontation or enforcement of the laws of our Constitutional Republic. They could be persuaded that it would be in their own best interest to leave and dwell in whatever hell-hole they wished, to follow the teachings of their pervert pedophile prophet, mohammed (may piss be upon him), beat their women, rape their children and live in their wretched abyss of squalor. However - as our friend Oleg Volk has said so succinctly, "Human beings understand reason; predators understand strength. And thus, I feel it would be a necessity to use lethal force to stop the violence begun my muslims and persuade them that their horrific acts - allowed, coddled and rationalized by the current socialist regime here - would no longer be permitted. Those who would wish to leave would be allowed to do so, to any muslim third world toilet that practices their perverse 'faith'... Somalia would be nice, Dafur, Zimbabwe....maybe even the Saud families would welcome their dispersed brethren and provide nice settlements for them. But for those who would not leave willingly, the alternative would be unpleasant, irreversible and terminal.	Sep 4, 2010 3:25 AM

Response Text		
123	It is happening right before our eyes daily on the news. I know, I know already what some are saying. Just let a sleeping dog lay, we have been down this road before with other immigrants. But, this sleeping dog is no longer laying around or assimalating like other immigrants, but demanding rights that no one else ever needed before, along with special priviledges that cause businessesand educational institutions to be burdened beyond resonable means. Many Muslims do not want to assimilate and be Americanized, but for America to be Islamized. Forget the Constitution, they have Sharia which is better. Then we can mistreat our women and children and kill anyone who will not be a Muslim. I know this question will get many different responses, but I like America the way it has been, and love its traditions.	Sep 4, 2010 3:26 AM
124	i have said many times that a muslim could never be a faithful citizen or a faithful military personnel either because they are prohibited by their koran on both counts from swearing allegiance to any entity but allah. thus by thier very own teachings and traditions their mission is to occupy and over-run until they can either force submission or infiltrate and disabuse those in authority to the point of submission and then take over everything else.	Sep 4, 2010 4:02 AM
125	As far as I am concerned, "We have met the enemy - and h is name is: ISLAM!"	Sep 4, 2010 4:05 AM
126	Since no "peaceful muslims" are marching in the streets protesting islamic violence, I have to assume all muslims approve (and support?) islamic terrorism. In my mind those facts legitimize using ANY and ALL means of defending myself, my loved ones, my neighbors, and my country from the promise of muslim subjugation.	Sep 4, 2010 4:12 AM
127	According to the Law of the Land, The Constitution of The United States, theocracy would be a violation of the first amendment thereof.	Sep 4, 2010 4:14 AM
128	I have met muslims who appear to be good people. BUT, I do not hear them condemning the violence or contradicting the "take over the world" philosophy.	Sep 4, 2010 4:15 AM
129	I believe the comment made by "MamaLiberty" bears much truth, the individual must take personal responsibility for what happens to themselves.	Sep 4, 2010 4:40 AM
130	One night, at about 2 am me and my buddy Carl were having a political discussion. I am a Christian, Carl is an Atheist, and he is married to a Jewish lady. I said, "you know Carl, you don't believe there is a hell, I believe you, all jews and Muslims are going to hell, your wife believes me, you and all Muslims are going to hell, Muslims believe all of us are infidels and are going to hell. So, the only thing that Jews, Muslims, and Christians can all agree on is that you are going to hell. We laughed, and I said I was only half way kidding. That really is what we believe. The beauty of living in a Republic under the constitution is, we can argue this stuff all night, and then sit down together in peace and have lunch. It's just that me and the atheist get all the bacon and ham. The constitution and all of the bill of rights will keep us free if we enforce it on everyone, regardless of religion. That includes private property. The liberals are all for private property rights when it comes to a mosque, but not a Christian or Jewish anything (posting the ten commandments, etc) Pure hypocrisy. Freedom is all or nothing. I vote for total freedom. Lets not join the hypocritical scum.	Sep 4, 2010 5:01 AM
131	Who and where is this leader???	Sep 4, 2010 5:01 AM
132	I am neither a Jew nor a Christian, I follow the Asatru path of my Norwegian forefathers. I do agree that we need strong leadership to tell the "enemy nations" to go to hell, but this country was founded on freedom of religion and as such, i would not want to see it declared a "Judeo-Christian " nation.	Sep 4, 2010 5:07 AM

Response Text		
133	<p>I think our country has become too easily distracted by the multitude of engineered events - 'financial crisis', 'random shootings', unpopular but widely discussed political decisions, sporting events (bread and circuses) and other events designed to keep us unaware of any significant change to the worse.</p> <p>The newspaper and broadcast media are owned by the same folks who wish to take over so they are not going to report anything against their masters. They see themselves as journalist not reporters. A reporter reports the news without any slant to the story. A journalist writes a story to change society into the image he or she believes it should reflect.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 5:13 AM
134	<p>Dear Aaron;</p> <p>The two questions make little sense to me. Similar to the comments published prior, I find them to be irrelevant.</p> <p>Substantial quantities of violence already exists in our cities. And at the moment, I don't believe a comment from any 'leader' is going to change this, one way or another.</p> <p>I can't defend a city, a state or a country. All I can do is defend myself, if given the right and the rights to a means of effective self-defence.</p> <p>I have no beef with any religious order. But if they get in my face or threaten my safety, there will be serious and severe consequences for them.</p> <p>I'm not a Christian, I'm not Jewish and I'm not a Muslim. I'm just a citizen who works very hard at minding my own business and treating all other people with courtesy and respect. All I ask in return is similar treatment.</p> <p>I don't live in the country with a second amendment. I live a ways to the north, where we daily fight and strive to obtain the right to defend ourselves - with firearms if necessary - and frequently, we do undertake conceptual and psychological battles with the ideologically driven 'pacifist' people, who want to take our firearms away from us.</p> <p>There always will be people who want to steal our freedoms from us. There will always be battles to prevent these kinds of people from succeeding at destroying our rights and freedoms.</p> <p>In spirit and conscience, I stand with JPFO and their members to fight relentlessly for our human rights, our human dignity and our human freedom.</p> <p>Vivat!</p> <p>G.L-N</p>	Sep 4, 2010 5:36 AM
135	<p>Hey,Jihad Joe,if you think Americans are going to let Islamo-Fascists rule here,think again-being a woman,I'm certain us gals will be a Major Thorn in your side because I for one Will Never let some diaperhead mutilate MY genitals And/Or Force Moi into a Tent;we Women Will Fight Back w/whatever we've got to keep our Equality which took us many centuries to establish.Don't get me started on women's rights;the despicable way You treat females in your'societies'Won't Wash Here!Go Ahead,Make My Day...</p>	Sep 4, 2010 5:54 AM
136	<p>first, you must outlaw them but permit their voluntary departure from our shores. Second, you must mandate their departure. Third, you must permit their voluntary religious conversion and/or full Americanization to escape numbers 1 and 2 above. This would mean no public display of traffic blocking relious prayer and no government toleration/establishment of religion. What ever hjappened to the UNAMERICAN ACTIVITIES LAWS????????????????</p>	Sep 4, 2010 5:57 AM

Response Text		
137	The First Amendment's "free exercise" clause is relevant to this. Members of the KKK professed to be Christians, but were also a threat. I swore to uphold ALL of the Constitution, and although it's not a "suicide pact", neither is it to be lightly infringed. In the case of Islam, their fundamentalists ARE pushing the boundaries, but I'm not to the stage of forcing them out at gunpoint.	Sep 4, 2010 6:30 AM
138	If we do not take a stand against our avowed enemies now, we will let them choose the time, place, and manner of attack. This is too great an advantage to allow an enemy to gain. The old adage, "An ounce of prevention beats a pound of cure," comes to mind. It will be far easier to prevent their attack than it will be to retaliate after they attack us. When they do decide it is time to attack, they will be at their strongest, and we may be even weaker, since our military is being severely downgraded every year.	Sep 4, 2010 6:52 AM
139	The christians tried the same thing as the muslims are doing today in the roman days and almost became extinct. when they decided to live and let live, then they were left alone. The muslims must do the same or the rest of the world will wipe them out. People, especially in the US do not like to be told what they they will believe in.	Sep 4, 2010 8:45 AM
140	A couple of caveats: 'agree' is a bit strong for #1; I'd say 'possibly'. As to #2, again, it would depend on the circumstances. I can certainly envision a scenario where this would be a viable and necessary solution. However, there's a fallacy built into the question. Islam simply cannot be classified as merely 'another religion'. Islam is NOT a religion. It's a complete totalitarian regimen for living [if one can call it 'living'], encompassing legal, moral, political, sexual, behavioral, physical and psychological dictates -- with a minor religious component. Islam is totally antithetical to the norms of, and dedicated to the destruction of, western civilization. While there are many non-practising Muslims who quietly ignore the rants of their extremist brothers (and I personally know a few), they are conspicuous in their silence. This silence is not mere indifference; there seems to be an element of genuine fear at play -- a Muslim simply does NOT speak out against anything related to the orthodoxy of hard-line Islam: the retribution might well make the Danish cartoon reactions look like grade school pranks. This is not an issue of religious tolerance, and to pretend so is folly of the highest order.	Sep 4, 2010 8:46 AM
141	The silence of mainstream Islam is very damning. Where is the condemnation of violence?	Sep 4, 2010 9:49 AM
142	And who will presume to be the arbiter of "Judeo-Christian values"? Will it be the same anti property rights folks that are disputing the "ground zero mosque"? Will it be the crowd pushing for a preemptive strike on Iran (the same neocon ilk that gave us the Patriot Act)? Demonize them first, and then kill them. Also, the printed email response is right on. As a JPFO member and constitutionalist, I find this poll to be astonishing, to say the least. Yes, I checked off on 'Agree' on both questions. Of course, if someone asked, "Did you refrain from beating your wife today?", I would have to select 'Agree', as well. What loaded and presumptuousness nonsense! MarkW. http://infowarboulder.wordpress.com/	Sep 4, 2010 10:31 AM
143	We already have violence in our cities, but it may be time for violence on our terms. Instead of the drummed-up "outrage" at our supposed racism, it is time for Americans to stop being politically correct and knuckling under to people who want our country on their terms. The best defense is a good offense.	Sep 4, 2010 11:21 AM

Response Text		
144	<p>A big problem with attacking an entire group of people because of their professed religion, which is exactly what Hitler did, is proving that they all think the same, that they all agree with and support some small group that claims to somehow act in their names or on their behalf. If we're going to be relaxed about that one, then this putative future American leader person will have to throw out the Buddhists as well. You see, a bunch of Buddhist fanatics attacked the subways here in Tokyo with poison gas not long after I moved here. Thousands could have been killed, including some of my friends -- imagine a packed morning rush hour Japanese subway attacked with poison gas bombs in four different locations. As it turned out less than a dozen were killed, although over a hundred people went to hospital. The trials and manhunts continue even to this day, although they've died down. It was a kind of Japanese 9/11. They had plenty of poison and they got it to the right places but I guess the deployment wasn't quite perfect so it turns out there were more United 93s than not and only a few bereaved families. Obviously these people espoused the teachings of Buddha, as did their leader who was arrested shortly after. So it's a no-brainer that anybody who is a serious Buddhist believes in what they did and that it was right, right? Of course we don't want anybody who believes in Buddhism in America, since they support terrorism and would probably participate in acts of terrorism if given the chance, and since Buddhism isn't related to Christianity and Judaism, which are the founding religions of the United States of America, right? Again the flaw here is that the guilt of a bunch of fanatics is somehow automatically born by an entire population who happen to be loosely associated by way of shared profession of religious belief, however distant, or ethnic group. I live in fear of the day that a bunch of fanatical redheads hijack something and I'll be tarred with the same fanatical brush, by the way.</p> <p>I guess if you need to hate and oppress some group then any old excuse is good enough.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 11:33 AM
145	<p>While our Country was originally founded as a result of religious persecution, and freedom of religion is the basis for much of what made us the nation we are today, there comes a time when a line must be drawn.</p> <p>Some religions preach that if you don't accept their teachings, you will never be allowed in heaven. Other religions teach that their approach is best, but only one religion not only teaches but demands that nonbelievers must be killed, and that there is no tolerance for any other religions, and that is Islam.</p> <p>If the fastest growing religion in the world is permitted to gain a foothold in America, Sharia law will not be far behind, as evidenced in Great Britain today, and then with elections, the replacement of our leaders with Muslims in Congress and possibly as president. Should that occur, Muslim laws will replace our Constitution and Bill of Rights and the extreme rule of Islam, under Sharia could in fact open the door to a Muslim cleansing of all peoples and religions that do not meet their warped standards.</p> <p>I do not relish the idea of banning a religion in America, but Islam, in its extreme, is not a religion, it is a political philosophy that takes no prisoners, accepts no one the deem as infidels or nonbelievers, and is required under their laws to lie and kill those who do not bow to Islam.</p> <p>I can and will support the resistance to such a movement, and while admitting to some level of personal discomfort with the decision, will support a leader that decides that a manifesto of death as basis for a religion in America is intolerable and must be removed.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 12:04 PM
146	<p>When I joined the military I took an oath to defend this country and the consitution against all enemies forgien and domestic. I am now 64 and the only way the I am relieved of the oath is the day that G-D calls me home. G-D bless America and it's great soldiers.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 12:25 PM

Response Text		
147	I have read some about Islamism and it is sweeping the whole world very quickly. We must do something to stop it here in the US very soon. They are reproducing at a rate three time as much as American Christians in our very own country.	Sep 4, 2010 12:51 PM
148	Whatever mask the tyrant wears - nazism, communism, fascism, islam - the desire of the beast is always rhe same: total enslavement or extermination of all others, If one meets this beast with fawning benevolence and offers toadying 'tolerance' to its every depraved act, the beast destroys the weak. If one meets this beast with the necessary means of confrontation, engagement and defeat, then one may eliminate the threat of the beast, and live in peace and security. Has history ever shown any other lesson? And how stupid, or cowardly, do people have to be to ignore that history? Anyone who says that islam is not the enemy, has never studied it at all,	Sep 4, 2010 1:21 PM
149	If a holy war starts, so be it. The muslim faction that we are fighting now already call it a holy war. So-what is different? We should use the second ammendment or whatever else is or may be available to rid this threat to our nation.This congress,and many congegss before have acted outside the power granted by the constitution.By whatever means, we must rid our country of this threat before we do have violence in our streets	Sep 4, 2010 1:58 PM
150	The right to have a useful weapon is paramount to self defense.	Sep 4, 2010 2:05 PM
151	Our liberal media and political correctness along with the idea by those in power of adopting a transnationalistic adjenda here in america are destroying our country.	Sep 4, 2010 2:07 PM
152	I don't view Islam as a religion, but as a political faction like the Communists or the Nazis. Those who promote the take over of our country should be treated like the enemies they are and be deported if not citizens. If they are citizens they should be monitored very closely and dealt with according to their behavior.	Sep 4, 2010 2:19 PM
153	I agree that people are using Islam as a front to world conquest, but there are "good" people of Islam too. If the US wanted to remove Islam and all trappings of it. There would need to first be the declaration that Islam in America is NOT a religion. Too many people view Freedom of Religion as one of the bed rocks of the USA to allow the removal of all things related to Islam, if Islam is a Religion.	Sep 4, 2010 2:20 PM
154	Islam is not primarily, in my opinion, a religion. I think Islam is a political ideology with a purely ideological goal, that of world conquest. In this, Islam does not differ materially differ from international socialism, Naziism, or fascism. The religious trappings are an elaborate flytrap designed to snag the ignorant and gull them into killing, dying, sending their children to death, and performing other political tasks for the leadership of this cynical political organization.	Sep 4, 2010 2:38 PM
155	Nuking them is a bit much, they are like a cancer that needs to be surgically removed. First thing that needs to be done is make ALL muslim women sterile or dead. They are just a puppy mill, turning out as many future terrorist as they can. More of them, fewer of us. That is how they take over governments, by breeding likes rabbits. In the US, in some cities, soon the will have a larger voting block than Christians and Jews.	Sep 4, 2010 3:16 PM

Response Text		
156	<p>Greetings to you Aaron, RE: The first question and the CK article. Such a ban/purge against a the Muslims as a whole is unconstitutional. Under current law, what would have to be taking place in a manner more vigorously than presently is criminal prosecution for violent acts or the attempts to commit them. At present, I think that too much of our society, law enforcement agencies, and politicians are still in denial and still want to be, "politically correct." Really stupid. RE: your second question. I find that the question is not really relevant in that my choice to defend myself and my love ones is my moral and ethical duty regardless of any amendment. Having a strong, broadly interpreted second ammendment helps, but, is certainly not going to be the deciding factor in when, where and how I choose to defend me and mine.</p> <p>jerry</p> <p>Jerry@firstinpersonaldefence.com</p>	Sep 4, 2010 3:29 PM
157	<p>It is the duty of every "True American" to prepare to defend our great country from all and any invasions.</p> <p>"I will defend the Constitution (and the United States) from all enemies Foreign and "Domestic".</p> <p>Believe it or not, WE ARE AT WAR. Prepare for the worst, and hope for the best. Purchase your firearms NOW. Stock up on ammo, etc, food, water, clothing. It will happen----BE PREPARED.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 3:37 PM
158	<p>This piece preaches pure insanity. You seem to be unaware that Bible and Torah alike also do plenty of exhortations of violence upon members of other faiths, and it seems reasonable to use your own argument against you. What's to stop anyone who differs in opinion or faith to act by your "logic" and begin to assault Jews and Christians?</p>	Sep 4, 2010 3:44 PM
159	<p>Action must be taken as soon as possible. The moslems must be deported from America. Our borders must be secured. We must support Israel in every way possible. This is our only hope. Get out and vote for Tea Party/Republican candidates and let the chips fall where they may! Pray to the God of our Fathers and of Abraham, Isacc, and Jacob! With his help we will prevail.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 4:05 PM
160	<p>I think it is too late for talk, only the GOD of the Jews & Christians-REAL can stop a CIVIL WAR of which if it is lost will end with a Very evil WORLD.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 4:07 PM
161	<p>It's time to stop the invasion.....muslims and illegal mexicans too.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 4:26 PM
162	<p>James Madison stated we no longer have a need for bullets- we have the ballot. Others stated that our government will work only for a moral and educated people; and that the will of the people must be trusted. I submit it is extremely important for all to vote, and prior to voting, educate themselves regarding our founding documents as well as the character of the candidates. I fear Islam for trying to replace the Constitution with Sharia. The will of the voters indicates that they do not value the Constitution, or are ignorant of the candidates for whom they cast ballots. We need elected and appointed officials who will defend the Constitution.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 4:44 PM

Response Text		
163	<p>I'm just a simple straight forward old country boy & refuse to try & complicate things as do the "educated" elitists who seem to hyper-analyze every little philosophical angle of any given issue, then falter on the side of sensitivity & perhaps innate cowardice, while avoiding the obvious evil which threatens all decent people. Our country was founded upon inalienable (unalienable) rights as Provided by our Devine God. Our history & largely ignored documents of this divinely conceived nation lay out the entire nature of our laws & existance as a sovereign Republic of free individuals who are endowed with all NATURAL RIGHTS as intended by our God. First & Foremost of all NATURAL laws is that of self-preservation, self-defense, defense of friends, loved ones, property, & country. In additon our God has ALWAYS allowed us, as INDIVIDUALS the freedom to CHOOSE; we must make these choices each & every day & with His Devine Guidance hopefully, we as frail humans will make many more in HIS favor than not. He does truly desire for us to survive, be fruitful, multiply, and to defeat evil, if it threatens. These instructions are reflected many times over throughout both OLD & NEW TESTAMENTS OF OUR BIBLE. Any modern day liberal , progressive, elitist who would for some fallacious reasoning disagree, really & truly needs to revisit actual original source history & to visualize the horrors of bypassed evil & tyrannical deeds brought forth by their self-same ignorance.</p> <p>rsd, Duluth, GA</p>	Sep 4, 2010 4:48 PM
164	<p>What everyone needs to know and never forget about Islam is the goal of world domination. The second thing is how can you trust an individual who practices a religion that instructs it's followers that it's good and well to lie to and cheat those who aren't of their religion? I also believe that Islam is not a religion but a corrupt political cult.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 4:51 PM
165	<p>As someone else wrote it is hard to answer the questions. First you would have to define what a religion is. My thoughts on this is Islam is not a a religion in the sense that Buddisum, Christianity, and Judisiam among others are.</p> <p>Most people come to America to intergrate into our society, become Americans and use their beliefs in G-d for the purpose of faith, honor, and hope, not to change America's laws and time honored values for the their own purpose.</p> <p>Of course, beleiving that Islam is not a mere religion, but a dangerous political belief bent on taking over the world and that changes everything, such as the first amendment no longer applies.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 5:16 PM
166	<p>Paragraph 4 seems to "require" nuclear retaliation. Add "up to" before the word "nuclear" so as to keep your options open. Carpet bombing would leave less radiation aftereffects.</p> <p>Islam is beginning to be described as a cult, not a religion, and as a complete socio-political "system" of total life control, CLOAKED in religion to keep its inhabitants in line through fear and intimidation. Why not add that it be classified as OTHER than a standard religion, with all IRS tax relief dispensations removed.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 5:38 PM
167	<p>To quote Theodore Roosevelt: "We should insist that if the immigrant who comes here in good faith becomes an American and assimilates himself to us, he shall be treated on an exact equality with everyone else, for it is an outrage to discriminate against any such man because of creed, or birthplace, or origin. But this is predicated upon the person's becoming in every facet an American, and nothing but an American. There can be no divided allegiance here. Any man who says he is an American, but something else also, isn't an American at all. We have room for but one flag, the American flag. We have room for but one language here, and that is the English language ... and we have room for but one sole loyalty and that is a loyalty to the American people." Theodore Roosevelt, 1919</p> <p>I am not sure that much of the American Constitution can co-exist with Islam.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 6:05 PM

Response Text		
168	All the problems we have in America are directly or indirectly caused by both House's of Congress. Their public comments are spoken with a forked tongue. They say one thing, but mean another.	Sep 4, 2010 6:38 PM
169	<p>We are, regardless of its protestations of innocence, of being the victim of its own radicals and of being a "religion" of "peace" (Read: Submission) already engaged in a 1400-years-long war, of it choosing, with Islam, which is, I contend, not a religion at all but an incredibly barbaric, hostilely-colonizing, raping, sexually-mutilating and mass-murdering totalitarian ideology.</p> <p>Probably terminally psychopathologically-hesperophobic, Islam's adherents have long demonstrated being absolutely unassimilable into Judeo-Christian/Western/Human Civilization and have a 1400-years-long track record of destroying -- and appear to be compelled to destroy -- that which they can neither create nor master.</p> <p>As, in 1899, in his book, <i>The River Wars</i>, Winston Leonard Spencer Jerome Churchill observed:</p> <p>"How dreadful are the curses which Mohammedanism lays on its votaries! Besides the fanatical frenzy, which is as dangerous in a man as hydrophobia in a dog, there is this fearful fatalistic apathy. The effects are apparent in many countries. Improvident habits, slovenly systems of agriculture, sluggish methods of commerce, and insecurity of property exist wherever the followers of the Prophet rule or live. A degraded sensualism deprives this life of its grace and refinement; the next of its dignity and sanctity. The fact that in Mohammedan law every woman must belong to some man as his absolute property - either as a child, a wife, or a concubine - must delay the final extinction of slavery until the faith of Islam has ceased to be a great power among men. Thousands become the brave and loyal soldiers of the Queen: all know how to die but the influence of the religion paralyses the social development of those who follow it. No stronger retrograde force exists in the world. Far from being moribund, Mohammedanism is a militant and proselytizing faith. It has already spread throughout Central Africa, raising fearless warriors at every step; and were it not that Christianity is sheltered in the strong arms of science, the science against which it had vainly struggled, the civilization of modern Europe might fall, as fell the civilization of ancient Rome."</p> <p>And now, already, in Pakistan and soon in France and in not very long at all, in once great Britain, in possession of nuclear weapons and demographically swamping those parts of the at-one-time civilized world in which it has already established colonies, Islam will also -- and very soon -- seek shelter and seek succor -- from the strong arms of science.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 6:47 PM
170	The people of this country come from all places of the world and represent all religions. The founding fathers may have been mostly Christian but their whole philosophy was to create a nation where any religious belief is a right and no one religion or ethnicity can be discriminated against. As far as protecting this country goes, I'd be more apt to use the 2nd amendment to protect it from the forces within, from the fools on the hill and their corporate elitist controllers than any other source since they are our worst enemy. If our representatives in govt. were doing their jobs and following the Constitution, we wouldn't have enemies all over the world and wouldn't need to worry about the tyrants who inhabit the White House every four years. Your letter is biased and simplistic and fails to address the real problems of this country.	Sep 4, 2010 7:22 PM
171	There comes a time when you must close the door to keep the rats out. Don't let our sworn enemies hide behind any religion. Jim	Sep 4, 2010 7:39 PM

Response Text		
172	<p>"Islamism is not a movement to be engaged, it is an enemy to be defeated."</p> <p>Islam Is Not A Religion, It Is Foreign Law, A socially engineered society with its own laws and customs that seriously conflict with American law. Muslim worship is protected under the First Amendment, Islamic law is not.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 8:52 PM
173	<p>There is no chance that any American politician would say such a thing even if he thought it. They are all a bunch of cowards.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 9:04 PM
174	<p>Force cannot be used to overcome an ideology - it can only be used to overcome aggression.</p> <p>I will use force to overcome violence/agression directed againse me, but NOT to attempt to defeat/extirpate an ideology or those who hold it - for when it comes down to it, all Religions are naught but ideologies.</p> <p>Never Again.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 10:11 PM
175	<p>I think we should go to the original beginning. We came here to worship the only true GOD there is, no strange gods ,we have to go to where we are Valor men of GOD,not a bunch of cowards. Follow JESUS is the right way ,only way</p>	Sep 4, 2010 10:49 PM
176	<p>What I worry about is the likely lack of such a leader. Islam is not just a religion, it is a plan for world domination. Our present leaders do not have the resolve to act, let alone the willingness to recognize islan for what it is. I really don't know what will happen.</p> <p>I wish everyone would read Mark Steyn's America Alone and at least consider his argument that demographics pose a severe threat to the non-islamic world, especially Europe, and even the United States.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 11:11 PM
177	<p>ANY GROUP THAT HAS A STATED PURPOSE THAT LEADS TO THE DESTRUCTION OF OUR WAY OF LIFE HAS NO RIGHT TO EXIST. USE SHARIA LAW TO PUT AN END TO THEM AND THEIR "PEACEFUL RELIGION".</p>	Sep 4, 2010 11:39 PM
178	<p>I find the concept of driving out every Muslim extremely disturbing. Yes, there is a section of Islam, a large section, which has as its aims the destruction of anything non Islamic, however there are also large amounts of Islamic people who simply wish to live their lives in peace and follow the Almighty in the way they believe is right. Who are we to drive such people out or to persecute them???</p> <p>If you like, make the preaching of Jihad a federal offense with a 20 year sentence or something like that, even make the stripping of citizenship and expulsion from the country mandatory if found guilty of preaching Jihad, but to drive out every Muslim would indeed be a horrific stain in history.</p>	Sep 4, 2010 11:50 PM
179	<p>Sooner or later there will be blood running in our streets...the sooner when we get rid of Muslim extremists...the later when they rise up against us in force...the real question is 'Do we have any minutemen/cowboy/patriots left in this country?'... who not only recognize the threat that Islam represents but are willing to pledge their lives/fortunes and sacred honor to preserve our free republic from all enemies...external and internal!!!</p>	Sep 5, 2010 12:00 AM
180	<p>These muslims And their SO CALLED Religion MUST BE STOPED in The United States of AMERICA !!! PERIOD !!!</p>	Sep 5, 2010 12:20 AM
181	<p>Our country was founded on freedom, particularly freedom of religion. Acting against individuals in a religion because of that religion is essentially prosecuting for a thought crime.</p> <p>It seems radicals on both sides (Judeo-Christian and Muslim) are pushing for a religious war ... unfortunately, it may come.</p> <p>The Muslims and their sharia law present quite a conundrum to our freedoms ... do we allow them the freedom to restrict our freedom? Let's hope not.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 12:43 AM

Response Text		
182	<p>The usurper currently in the Oval Office has proved that we simply cannot trust what those who practice the muslim religion say. There is ample evidence he is muslim, yet we are continually told the opposite. Start there and go backward with muslims historically and the picture does not improve.</p> <p>When someone tells you that any other religion has been violent when referring to the current state of the the muslim world they are telling you just that, past tense. Most often very past tense, which cannot pardon or justify the continuation of violence that has been with the world since the inception of the muslim religion.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 1:13 AM
183	<p>A lesson of warning for all of us: Matthew 7:6 (New King James Version) 6 "Do not give what is holy to the dogs; nor cast your pearls before swine, lest they trample them under their feet, and turn and tear you in pieces.</p> <p>Luke 22:36-38 (New King James Version) 36 Then He said to them, "But now, he who has a money bag, let him take it, and likewise a knapsack; and he who has no sword, let him sell his garment and buy one. 37 For I say to you that this which is written must still be accomplished in Me: 'And He was numbered with the transgressors.' For the things concerning Me have an end." 38 So they said, "Lord, look, here are two swords." And He said to them, "It is enough."</p>	Sep 5, 2010 2:00 AM
184	that stance is fucking idiotic and xenophobic. how are you any different than them? schmuck	Sep 5, 2010 2:07 AM
185	Reality Check: if criminals hide behind a cloak of so called Religion to accomplish their evil deeds against United States Citizens, that cloak will not stop a U.S. made .45cal in defense to stop the threat. But a nation of sleeping Sheeple will not wake up to the threat until it is too late. Wake up all those you can, now. As a last resort, our individual and national Freedom comes from the barrel of a gun to enforce and support and defend our Constitution for the United States of America, i.e., the Law of the Land.	Sep 5, 2010 2:08 AM
186	<p>I think the opinion piece goes to far. Not all Muslims want to take over the world and destroy other religions. Unfortunately those Muslims that want to convert everyone get the majority of sound bites on tv. Many Christians and Jews wonder where a moderate Muslim is to counter the jihadists when they do get their 30 seconds of fame. Radical Islam is a threat to the world and all of the countries are going to have to find a solution for dealing with terrorism be it Muslim or some other form.</p> <p>While I beleive that the Second Amendment is extremely important for the defense of our country. The act of using it against another religion is moot. The standard should always be if attacked we defend ourselves. It doesn't matter if the attackers are another religion or another race or aliens from another planet. CITIZENS WILL DEFEND THEIR HOME TURF.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 2:34 AM
187	I have NEVER been prejudiced against any race culture or religion until recent action by Islamic radicals. I do not generally blame an entire group for the actions of radicals - nut cases exist in all groups. But the failure of the Islamic majority to condemn the radical actions reveal their true agenda.	Sep 5, 2010 3:26 AM
188	I am a firm believer that the word of our Heavenly Father has been twisted and perverted by men. That which was evil is now good and what was good is now evil. If the leaders of this nation would only find it in their hearts to follow the Constitution, just as the founders asked for divine guidance, strong and free we would be. But political correctness is our weakness and we cannot see our enemy for who he is. Surely, Islam seeks the complete control of the world...we die to live, but they live to die and that is a fact that has to be accepted.	Sep 5, 2010 3:46 AM
189	We must preserve our constitutional republic. There are no "thought crimes." When a crime is comitted it must be dealt with, before there is an act infringing on another's liberty there is no crime.	Sep 5, 2010 4:24 AM
190	we have been at war with islam for centures but only the other side is fighting	Sep 5, 2010 4:56 AM

Response Text		
191	<p>The first question was quite interesting in that I had pause to think. Do I think it would lead to violence? Yes, to some extent. We have already seen the violence of the left at town hall meetings and street demonstrations. Would the Muslims be a docile as the SEIU or the pro-amnesty crowd or even the jerks who protest at these G8, G20 meetings? I have every feeling that they would all protest. Their problem is going to be will they expect the police to protect them from counter violence. I don't think it will necessarily the civilian who will perpetrate violence against them, but it will be the civilian who will respond in kind or even worse violence.</p> <p>Our 2nd Amendment rights have been at the forefront very much. Here in Arizona you do not have to have a CCW to carry a concealed weapon any more and you never had to have one to carry openly. I do the latter but have now taken to doing the former as well. I will protect me and mine from any violence with deadly force. And I will be able to go home and sleep soundly after protecting my life or anyone elses.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 5:46 AM
192	Americans have always accepted peaceful immigrants, who want to conform and embrace our laws and honor our believes, so why is their religion hell bent on killing us and trying to enforce their laws on us..they are not attempting to be Americans at all...THEY are the ones making themselves our enemy.	Sep 5, 2010 5:55 AM
193	What enhances Mans life is good and what detracts from Mans life is evil. I see the way Islam detracts from Woamens life is nothing but pure evil!	Sep 5, 2010 10:07 AM
194	sooner or later we will have to expell them & tear down the mosques, better now & it may take a 100 yrs as it did in europe during the crusades, history has been ignored & we have learned nothing from the past.	Sep 5, 2010 11:17 AM
195	I believe that we, as a nation, have a right to, and should defend ourself against an invasion of any kind that threatens the security of the people. This includes an invasion of a religion that seeks to destroy all other religions by force. We do have freedom of religion but as in all freedoms, it is not absolute. We have freedom of speech but are not allowed to shout "fire" in a crouded theatre unless there is in fact fire. We have the right to self defense, but are not allowed to go and kill our neighbors just because we think that they might attack us. We have laws that prevent us from killing others because we think that they are wrong about any number of subjects. That brings us so Islam. The Holy Quran, as I understand it, directs followers to go out and kill infidels (non believers) as part of that religion. That is one of the areas of religion that is not absolute, at least in the United States of America, at this time. It seems that there are millions of Muslims that are hell bent on changing that. I understand that in some countrys in Europe and the Middle Ease there are already areas where Shiria law is enforced and allowed to exist by the sitting governments because of the existing populations of Muslims in those areas. When and if that happens here in the U. S. I can see the second amendment coming into play in a big way. Some areas in large cities may succomb to the pressure to allow this but there are vast areas where it will not happen without extreme violence from the infidels. In that sceneario I can see where there could be an armed revolution that our country has not seen, or even come close to since the civil war. In that case, God help us!	Sep 5, 2010 12:10 PM
196	While some would wring thier hands whine, there would be more unity with this policy. And yes, like it or not, a 2nd.Amendment based solution is the only thing that will allow us to survive.	Sep 5, 2010 12:25 PM

Response Text		
197	This is feels a bit sad. I love G~d and my country but could not harm someone simply on the basis of their faith, unless G~d Himself spoke to me audibly. There are in fact innocents that should not be harmed or discriminated against here, and I could not follow that type of logic.I would/could support a much stronger enforcement of sedition laws and illegal alien laws. I think we miss the power of active enforcement of existing laws. Any teaching or preaching against our government or way of life that includes killing or destruction of property could/should be prosecuted. Love the strong convictions on this website, but I'm just not quite there yet.	Sep 5, 2010 12:26 PM
198	Because there are so many muslims in the USA now, it seems plausible that there would be violence in our country.	Sep 5, 2010 1:01 PM
199	Wake up America, you've been apathetic, uninvolved, uninformed, and gullible for far too long. They don't call you lemmings-sheeple for nothing.	Sep 5, 2010 3:22 PM
200	It is not a case of using violence against another religion but against a political ideology that is set to make our laws useless and to institute their laws based on their ideology. If it be war on our streets to protect all that we hold dear, so be it.	Sep 5, 2010 3:43 PM
201	There is only one way to rid this planet of the blight of radical muslims. Though sounding harsh, cruel and as horrendous as it may be, it would be total innihitation. Complete genocide. It would mean killing one billion plus people. Then total destruction of even the slightest remnant of the culture. It would take a few generations to remove it from the collective memory. But that will never happen and would, in reality, be impossible. Even as the anti-gun contingent wants to take our guns, they'd never get them all. So, we must live with what we have and try to, as best we can, control it. When I was in a history class, many years ago, a question came up during the discussion of the founding of our once great nation. The question was about FREEDOM of religion; were there any prohibited? Only one was the reply, because it involved human sacrifice. Perhaps we can expel Islam because it involves "honor killings". Is that not murder? Human sacrifice? NO mosque at Ground Zero! That's all I have. Safe and Happy Holidays.	Sep 5, 2010 3:46 PM
202	Yes, it is possible that violence might result; however, the supporters of the premise outnumber the opponents. And, yes, I would stand behind the Second Amendment to defend this concept. We are currently in a "war" of sorts, with forces which wish to enslave us, the real producers in our society. It puzzles me just how these imperialists think that the system could function, were their plans to succeed. Their lust for power has blinded them from seeing the obvious - that the result would produce an dysfunctional world. As a "Goy," I can't fathom why so many of the perpetrators in this are of "Jewish" ethnicity (think Rothschild, Warburg, and Morgan). I know, as a reasonable man, that Jews, in general, aren't responsible, but the question still stands. Why? I can see why simple minded folk might become tools in pogroms of persecution. Certainly the circumstances in Germany, during the Weimar Republic, caused them to hate rich Jews, ignoring the fact that there were also poor Jews among them. It is also a fascinating fact that Rothschild funded both Hitler and the Allies, in the WW II period; reaping huge profits from both. I believe myself to be a fair minded student of history, striving to ween out that which has been "politically corrected." I strive to avoid prejudice, and have been quite successful, though some might wish to disagree. I would appreciate comments on my words, and to enter into a discourse on these ideas. Any takers?	Sep 5, 2010 4:00 PM

Response Text		
203	<p>We can all live together. Does not your book tell us to love our fellow man. Cline has taken one side of a religion and used that to stir the pot of HATE. I remember back in the 50/60s, when if you did not belong to one religion you were G_d less and would go to hell. I have lived in the middle east, these people are on the most part wonderful, kind and understanding. Some are SOB's but are not a lot of people.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 4:14 PM
204	<p>There is no way our sissified, refined, cultured nation is going to throw out Muslims or anybody else. We are not going to even throw out the 23 million illegals who currently reside here. Think about it, even Israel doesn't have the intestinal fortitude to deport the million or so Muslims that claim Israeli citizenship - and these folks are out breeding the Jews at a ratio of 8 to 1. The Israeli's with their welfare, are supporting the very folks who will eventually slit their throats. And you perceive WE have more guts than the Israeli's? What have you been smoking?</p> <p>The blood of the ancient Israelite, Norse, Saxon and Roman warriors from which we sprang has somehow been polluted and watered down by too much wine, women and song. Rather than celebrate victory on the battlefield and the prowess of our combat veterans, we choose to celebrate metrosexuals, transsexuals, effeminate men, masculine women and diversity.</p> <p>When g-d looks down on San Francisco and Washington DC, how does he see anything different from Sodom and Gomorrah? And justly, in His own good time, their well deserved fate will be the same. The instrument of that fate could well be the Muslim hoards.</p> <p>The only question now is whether the destruction will come sooner or later. The best delaying tactic we could employ is to eliminate all immigration, regardless of the nation of origin. Our cities are already too crowded, our schools overwhelmed, our roads clogged, our national parks overrun. We simply do not need any more people regardless of color or creed.</p> <p>A "no more immigration" policy just might have a chance of flying, and it would lock Muslims into a tiny minority status for at least a generation or two, until their breeding habits alter the balance. Who knows, perhaps in another hundred years or so the indigenous Muslims might have integrated into society and become somewhat peaceful.</p> <p>Deporting Muslims is simply not an option, and any politician advocating it would be trounced.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 4:17 PM
205	<p>I would defend myself and my country against any group that sought to take over or to destroy the United States, however, saying that all Muslims want the same thing is just as stupid and foolish as saying all Jews or Christians support the same set of beliefs. There are Muslims in this country that do support the US as it is. I would also use the second amendment to defend my country against groups like the Westboro Baptist Church. As you well know, they appear to hate the United States just as much as many of the radical Muslim Groups. Psychos come in all forms and we need to have the ability to defend ourselves against all of them, not just one particular group.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 6:21 PM
206	<p>My belief is that the Muslim Jihad is a very serious threat to the United States of America and should be expelled from our country.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 6:29 PM
207	<p>Sometimes the tree of Liberty needs to be refreshed with the blood of patriots.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 7:00 PM
208	<p>I believe that if such a person lead the USA those extremists already in place in this country would begin a concerted jihad in our streets immediately.</p>	Sep 5, 2010 10:41 PM

Response Text		
209	actually, i would use my firearm(s) to defend first my family, then my community, then my country against any and all enemies, foreign and domestic, trite as that may sound. the second amendment as well as religion, color, or creed play no part in my decision. if you are an enemy, i will try to defend against you to the best of my ability. i do not consider that using the second amendment: i consider that using the pursuit for my God-given liberty and any tools i can get my hands on to do it.	Sep 6, 2010 1:44 AM
210	Sirs, have found most of your articles spot on !! Mr Klein has solidified my thinking about the Islam question. I sincerely hope our next leader uses this advice. Do not have any muslim neighbors;nor do I want any. The little I know of their book sickens me. their sharia and dhimmitude would never set well in USA. So lets get the war started before I am too old to see them go down in defeat. Only 81 yrs old; so bring them on !!!!! Art , Patriot	Sep 6, 2010 2:59 AM
211	I'm not sure if a leader, as described in the article, would lead to "violence in our cities", but I would not be surprised. If that should happen, every citizen who believes in Judeo-Christian values must be prepared to utilize The Second Amendment to defend against any person(s) our group who threatens us.	Sep 6, 2010 3:48 AM
212	The best way to defend ourselves from the Islamists is to keep them out of our country. Obama is aggressively importing them. We must have the new incoming Congress put an end to this suicidal practice. No more Muslim immigration, no more building of beach-head mosques. Get rid of them, and keep them out.	Sep 6, 2010 3:57 AM
213	Sadly our country has lost sight of itself and the values that made this country great. I often wonder if things came about as they did in the 1930's and 1940's [Adolf Hitler and WW2] would our country have the backbone to stand up and fight back as the "Greatest Generation" did. Or would we roll over and do nothing. While I cannot stand Barrack Obama and the socialist party [the democrat]and I believe they are destroying this country I feel some good is coming from it. The good is people are starting to wake up and realize what is going on. Look at the Tea Part Movement. We need to keep this momentum going and take Our Country back. I believe everyone should get a copy of The Constitution and read it. I get so angry when I read it and see how our country is supposed to be run and then I look at what it has become. I think a lot of our people have just become complacent. Hopefully this will stir their anger and wake them up.	Sep 6, 2010 5:47 AM
214	The Muslims are the fith column in America today and are the cancer from within. As an individual what do you do if your doctor informs you that you have cancer..?	Sep 6, 2010 6:07 AM

Response Text		
215	<p>Rabbi,</p> <p>This topic is where things get really interesting. The survey postulates that we could find ourselves under attack by the forces of Islam. Even Osama wouldn't see this as a near term proposition; so, this is not the Threat that could cause us to go for the guns. There are definately others and those events could happen a lot sooner, probably lead by someone who could best be described as a Secular Humanist, in other words the UN or something that passes the "duck test" for it.</p> <p>This "Religion of Peace" has a "Second Amendment" tradition that predates the one in our Constitution by about 1100 years. Over sixty years ago, I remember the picture books and "Arabs" were always armed in those illustrations with no negative connotation attached. If you saw an Arab with a big long rifle, that was normal. Now, they carry AK-47s showing that they can keep up with the times.</p> <p>This could be different on a local level as it is turning out in England ("Londonostan") and France where there are "no go" zones for the police. Dearborn, Michigan may be the first place this question is tested but some other town could surprise me. This situation is obviously about local implementation of Sharia Laws, with or without agreement from the surrounding community. Now, it gets really interesting.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 7:11 AM
216	<p>I think we (our country) have gone so far astray from our Judeo-Christian worship and obedience that we have no such G-D fearing leader waiting in the wings to come forward, nor would people with hearts true to G-D be sufficient to vote such a leader into office. So, with that, we're left to defend our families, our country...with the help of G-D Almighty.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 11:36 AM
217	<p>Great. Just what we need. More hate-mongering. And I can't agree or disagree with questions--only with statements.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 11:53 AM
218	<p>to the first question, I do believe it would lead to violence, but not neccessarely initiated by us, but provocated by Muslims and brainwashed Americans. Islam is and always will be a totalitarian form of government disguised as a religion...and they are not stupid, they are already very successfully using our own Constitution against us.</p> <p>I, for one, will never ever subjugate myself, my family or my loved one to Shariah Law.</p> <p>muslims will never assimilate, therefor they have no rights to claim anything in this country.</p> <p>Islam as a whole is worse yet than Hitlers Ideology was, Islam is going after any and all religions in this world and they must be stopped...They can do in their own country what ever they want, but we cannot let them continue to infiltrate and undermine our american society... We have to stand up against this all to real threat. The hatred is very much one sided...I don't hate Muslims, but I will not let them take over my country....this is war.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 12:34 PM
219	<p>Islam is not a religion in the traditional sense of the word...it is an ideology, more similar to Nazism or Communism, bent on conquering the world by force.</p> <p>In realty, there is no such thing as a moderate, peaceful Muslim. Of the billions of Muslims in this world, MOST are radical jihadist in their political viewpoint. Those sleepers who say and do nothing about the radicals will themselves become radical when their political/social strength allows it or they will themselves face terrorism from their own.</p> <p>I am betting due to their spineless nature they will capitulate and become as radical as the rest !</p> <p>Islam is invading the world and using liberal laws and political correctness to take want they want,</p> <p>We are fools to allow this to continue to happen here. But just like we have been debating and talking about the dangers of Iran having nuclear weapons and their open plans to destroy Israel since Clinton was President and done nothing...so it will be with Islam.</p> <p>We need strong leaders...however, none are on the horizon. Teotwawki is in our future.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 3:31 PM

Response Text		
220	<p>The only comments I can make are based on what I have seen and felt in this Great Land of Ours.</p> <p>The cities would be last in revolting against an unjust leader of our Government or attempted take over by Islam Jihadists. It's the rural America that would not tolerate such a situation. It's the farmers and ranchers that are almost 100% armed and ready to defend this country and their way of life!!! These people don't pay much attention to the liberal media they will fight to the bloody end for their rights!!! It's the Red states that will start a revolt first, the wide open spaces of the WEST... G...D Bless America</p>	Sep 6, 2010 4:15 PM
221	<p>No matter what the religion, I expect any such leader would result in violence. Heck the one we have today has not fulfilled his term yet.....</p>	Sep 6, 2010 4:26 PM
222	<p>I believe Islam is at war with the world. We need to realize it and take appropriate action.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 6:03 PM
223	<p>We need to preserve our GOD given freedoms. I see moslems in America as treasonists. We all know what the penalty for treason is during wartime and we are at war with islamists.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 7:59 PM
224	<p>Islam is A clear and present danger to every country it is allowed to fester in . The Crusades were fought for the same reason and it's quite evident need to be fought again. I think we should get out of the U.N. and NATO and remove all aid military and otherwise from every country as a moral imperative.</p> <p>Trade with all,entangling alliances with no one.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 8:17 PM
225	<p>I couldn't have said it better myself.</p> <p>I have been a fan of www.prophetofdoom.net for years which has really opened my eyes.</p>	Sep 6, 2010 11:51 PM
226	<p>The President doesn't have enough power to override the courts and Congress. A "holy-roller" president would soon loose public support from both parties. It's certainly clear that some major shift in public opinion and political policy towards Muslims is essential to prevent the chaos taking place in several European countries from arising here, but Americans, for the most part, seem unable to separate Islam from all the other religions that don't promote world take-over and brutal patriarchal culture. This is paradoxical because few Americans would support or even ignore neo-Nazis establishing centers all over the country to perpetuate their racist and political views and indoctrinate their children. And, for the most part, Americans didn't fall for the Nazi propaganda during WW-II (although many did prior to the war), yet they almost unanimously fall for today's Muslim propaganda (see "Taquia" and "Kitman" in Islam). Muslims are the contemporary world's Nazis. We may well have to defend ourselves against Muslims thugs in the U.S. at some future time, but for the moment, those concerned about the insidious spread of Muslim culture in the U.S.and the political-correctness and ignorance enabling it, should join "Act for America," the preeminent organization exposing and opposing Muslim subterfuge in the U.S, at www.ActforAmerica.com.</p>	Sep 7, 2010 1:11 AM

Response Text		
227	<p>Islam is NOT Covered BY The First Amendment ! The Founding Fathers and all those who created the Bill of Rights left the Country where the British Crown and the State of Great-Britain were forcing them to worship GOD in the way the State of Great-Britain Dictated, not the way the Bible said they were to worship and who they were to worship. So the mind set of those who created the Constitution and the Bill of Rights would never have allowed a so called religion like Islam to be covered by the First Amendment! Islam is a Murderous and Satanic Lie who's FATHER IS SATAN HIM SELF! All the Religions covered at the time of the Bill of Rights and Constitution were like the Baptist, Methodist, Lutheran and the other God of THE BIBLE Fearing People who tried to live by what the Bible said. They leaned toward Loving thy Neighbor instead of cutting their heads off because they didn't say the same prayer that their neighbor did. Read the writing of the Federalist and the History of the First Thanks Giving here in America. The first schools were held in the Churches and the BIBLE was the only School Book for a long time.</p>	Sep 7, 2010 2:07 AM
228	<p>Sadly, this is "castle in the sky" thinking. There is no way the MSM (Main Stream Media) would allow such a person to be fairly portrayed to the American people and the rest of the world. Such a person would be vilified, then crucified, every night on the evening news until the next MSM sponsored liberal comes to power. The MSM will continue to spoon feed the gullible American "sheeple" their trash all the way up until their beloved liberal THEY helped get into power suddenly turns on them and THEIR freedom of the press suddenly disappears...then it WILL be too late.</p>	Sep 7, 2010 2:31 AM
229	<p>The danger would not be as much from the agressor as from the fools who believe that this country is a democracy they have no right to defend the culture as defined by the constitution.</p>	Sep 7, 2010 3:49 AM
230	<p>The answer to the first question is very likely yes. I did not respond to the second question, because it is just as confused and misguided as Mr. Klein's article. I hope that this article does not reflect the views of JPFO. If it does I will be reconsidering my life membership. The article plays into the hands of the neoconservative warmongers and the other statists in this country who would have us forever at war, forever ready to sacrifice what remains of our liberties in the name of "national security". The greatest threat to our freedoms has always been us. The Judeo-Christian leaders and the ignorant masses who support them have done a fine job of destroying this country. Our own state worshipping religious leaders have done more to undermine our freedom than any muslims ever will. Is Mr. Klein seriously advocating a nuclear retaliation for an attack on an individual? This is insane. The last thing this country needs is the type of leader described in the article. We need a leader who understands the philosophical foundations of liberty. We need a leader who will bring home every American soldier from around the world and stop meddling in the internal affairs of other nations. We need a leader who will end All foreign aid. That means all aid to the Arabs and all aid to Israel. What "peace-loving America" is Mr. Klein talking about? Perhaps he should spend more time reading history and less time looking for a scapegoat.</p>	Sep 7, 2010 4:21 AM